

M A Y 2 0 0 1

CONDON WIND PROJECT

Draft Environmental Impact Statement
DOE/EIS-0321



Condon Wind Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DOE/EIS-0321)

Responsible Agency: U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

Title of Proposed Action: Condon Wind Project

States Involved: Oregon

Abstract: BPA needs to acquire resources to meet its customers' load growth. In meeting that need for power, BPA will consider the following purposes: protecting BPA and its customers against risk by diversifying its resource portfolio; assuring consistency with its responsibilities under the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act to encourage the development of renewable resources; meeting customer demand for renewable resources; assuring consistency with its resource acquisition strategy; and meeting the objectives of its Power Business Line's Strategic Plan. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) evaluates the environmental impacts of the Proposed Action (to execute one or more power purchase and transmission services agreements to acquire and transmit up to the full electric output of the proposed Condon Wind Project) and the No Action Alternative. BPA's preferred alternative is the Proposed Action. BPA has also identified the Proposed Action as the environmentally-preferred alternative.

The proposed wind project is located on private agricultural land in Gilliam County, Oregon. The 38-acre project site is located within a 4,200-acre study area located on both sides of Oregon Highway 206, approximately 5 miles northwest of the town of Condon. The project would use modern, efficient 600-kilowatt (kW) wind turbines to convert energy in the winds to electricity that would be transmitted over the existing BPA transmission system. The project would consist of one or two phases: the first phase would use 41 wind turbines to yield a capacity of approximately 24.6 megawatts (MW). A second phase (if built) would use 42 wind turbines to yield a capacity of approximately 25.2 MW. For purposes of this DEIS, the size of the project is assumed to be 49.8 MW, built in two phases. Major components of the wind project include wind turbines and foundations, small pad-mounted transformers, an operation and maintenance building, power collection and communication cables, project access roads, meteorological towers on foundations, and a substation. During construction there would also be temporary equipment storage and construction staging areas. The first phase is proposed for construction in late 2001; the second phase could be constructed during spring/summer 2002 or later.

**To request additional copies of the DEIS,
please contact:**

Bonneville Power Administration
Communications Office - KC-7
P.O. Box 3621
Portland, OR 97208
Toll-free: 1-800-622-4520

**For additional information on the DEIS,
please contact:**

Sarah T. Branum
Environmental Specialist - KEC-4
Bonneville Power Administration
P.O. Box 3621
Portland, OR 97208-3621
(503) 230-5115, or toll-free: 1-800-282-3713
stbranum@bpa.gov

You may access the DEIS, or find more information about BPA, on our web site at www.efw.bpa.gov.

For information on DOE National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) activities, please contact:
Carol M. Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance, EH-42, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington DC 20585. Phone: 1-800-472-2756; or visit the DOE NEPA Web at www.eh.doe.gov/nepa.

Table of Contents

Summary

Chapter 1 Purpose of and Need for Action	1-1
1.1 Background	1-1
1.2 Need for Action.....	1-1
1.3 Purpose of Action.....	1-2
1.4 Decisions to be Supported by the EIS	1-2
1.5 Documents Incorporated by Reference	1-2
1.6 Scoping and Major Issues	1-3
Chapter 2 Proposed Action and Alternatives	2-1
2.1 Proposed Action	2-1
2.1.1 Project Overview.....	2-1
2.1.2 Project Location and Project Site	2-1
2.1.3 Wind Resource	2-2
2.1.4 Project Components	2-2
2.1.5 Construction	2-6
2.1.6 Operation and Maintenance	2-10
2.1.7 Workforce	2-10
2.1.8 Traffic.....	2-11
2.1.9 Hazardous Materials.....	2-11
2.1.10 Reclamation.....	2-12
2.1.11 Decommissioning.....	2-12
2.2 No Action Alternative	2-13
2.3 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Detailed Study	2-13
2.3.1 Alternative Energy Resources.....	2-13
2.3.2 Alternative Transmission Path	2-14
2.3.3 Alternative Turbine Locations	2-14
2.4 Summary of Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives	2-14
2.5 Preferred Alternative	2-15
Chapter 3 Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Mitigation	3-1
3.1 Regional Setting	3-1
3.2 Land Use and Recreation	3-1
3.2.1 Regulatory Framework.....	3-2
3.2.2 Study Methodology	3-2
3.2.3 Affected Environment	3-2
3.2.4 Environmental Consequences—Proposed Action.....	3-3
3.2.5 Environmental Consequences—No Action Alternative.....	3-5
3.3 Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	3-5
3.3.1 Regulatory Framework.....	3-5
3.3.2 Study Methodology	3-5
3.3.3 Affected Environment	3-6

	3.3.4	Environmental Consequences – Proposed Action	3-7
	3.3.5	Environmental Consequences—No Action Alternative	3-9
3.4	Fish	3-9	
	3.4.1	Regulatory Framework	3-9
	3.4.2	Study Methodology.....	3-10
	3.4.3	Affected Environment.....	3-10
	3.4.4	Environmental Consequences—Proposed Action	3-11
	3.4.5	Environmental Consequences—No Action Alternative	3-12
3.5	Vegetation.....	3-13	
	3.5.1	Regulatory Framework	3-13
	3.5.2	Study Methodology.....	3-13
	3.5.3	Affected Environment.....	3-13
	3.5.4	Environmental Consequences—Proposed Action	3-14
	3.5.5	Environmental Consequences—No Action Alternative	3-17
3.6	Wildlife.....	3-18	
	3.6.1	Regulatory Framework	3-18
	3.6.2	Study Methodology.....	3-18
	3.6.3	Affected Environment.....	3-19
	3.6.4	Environmental Consequences—Proposed Action	3-26
	3.6.5	Environmental Consequences—No Action	3-31
3.7	Water Resources and Wetlands	3-32	
	3.7.1	Regulatory Framework	3-32
	3.7.2	Study Methodology.....	3-32
	3.7.3	Affected Environment.....	3-32
	3.7.4	Environmental Consequences—Proposed Action	3-33
	3.7.5	Environmental Consequences—No Action Alternative	3-34
3.8	Cultural Resources.....	3-34	
	3.8.1	Regulatory Framework	3-34
	3.8.2	Study Methodology.....	3-35
	3.8.3	Affected Environment.....	3-35
	3.8.4	Environmental Consequences—Proposed Action	3-39
	3.8.5	Environmental Consequences—No Action Alternative	3-40
3.9	Visual Resources	3-40	
	3.9.1	Regulatory Framework	3-40
	3.9.2	Study Methodology.....	3-40
	3.9.3	Affected Environment.....	3-41
	3.9.4	Environmental Consequences—Proposed Action	3-42
	3.9.5	Environmental Consequences—No Action Alternative	3-44
3.10	Socioeconomics, Public Services, and Utilities.....	3-44	
	3.10.1	Regulatory Framework	3-44
	3.10.2	Study Methodology.....	3-45
	3.10.3	Affected Environment.....	3-45
	3.10.4	Environmental Consequences—Proposed Action	3-48
	3.10.5	Environmental Consequences—No Action Alternative	3-51
3.11	Transportation.....	3-51	
	3.11.1	Regulatory Framework	3-51
	3.11.2	Study Methodology.....	3-52
	3.11.3	Affected Environment.....	3-52
	3.11.4	Environmental Consequences—Proposed Action	3-53
	3.11.5	Environmental Consequences—No Action Alternative	3-54

3.12	Air Quality	3-55
3.12.1	Regulatory Framework.....	3-55
3.12.2	Study Methodology	3-56
3.12.3	Affected Environment.....	3-56
3.12.4	Environmental Consequences—Proposed Action.....	3-56
3.12.5	Environmental Consequences—No Action Alternative.....	3-57
3.13	Noise	3-58
3.13.1	Regulatory Framework.....	3-58
3.13.2	Study Methodology	3-59
3.13.3	Affected Environment.....	3-59
3.13.4	Environmental Consequences—Proposed Action.....	3-60
3.13.5	Environmental Consequences—No Action Alternative.....	3-63
3.14	Public Health and Safety	3-63
3.14.1	Regulatory Framework.....	3-63
3.14.2	Study Methodology	3-64
3.14.3	Affected Environment.....	3-64
3.14.4	Environmental Consequences—Proposed Action.....	3-64
3.14.5	Environmental Consequences—No Action Alternative.....	3-66
3.15	Relationship Between Short-Term Uses of Man's Environment vs. the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity	3-66
3.16	Irreversible or Irretrievable Commitments of Resources	3-66
3.17	Unavoidable Adverse Impacts	3-67

Chapter 4 Cumulative Impacts4-1

Chapter 5 Consultation, Review, and Permit Requirements5-1

5.1	National Environmental Policy Act	5-1
5.2	Threatened and Endangered Species and Critical Habitat	5-1
5.3	Fish and Wildlife Conservation.....	5-2
5.4	Heritage Conservation.....	5-3
5.5	State, Areawide, and Local Plan and Program Consistency	5-4
5.6	Coastal Zone Management Program Consistency.....	5-4
5.7	Floodplains and Wetlands Protection.....	5-4
5.8	Farmlands.....	5-5
5.9	Recreation Resources	5-5
5.10	Global Warming	5-5
5.11	Permit for Structures in Navigable Waters.....	5-5
5.12	Permit for Discharges into Waters of the United States.....	5-5
5.13	Permits for Right-of-Way on Public Lands.....	5-5
5.14	Energy Conservation at Federal Facilities.....	5-6
5.15	Pollution Control at Federal Facilities	5-6
5.16	Hazardous Materials.....	5-6
5.17	Environmental Justice	5-7
5.18	Notice to the Federal Aviation Administration	5-7

Chapter 6 References6-1

6.1	Printed References	6-1
6.2	Personal Communications.....	6-5

Chapter 7 Agencies, Organizations, and Persons Receiving this EIS.....	7-1
7.1 Federal Agencies	7-1
7.2 Tribal Government.....	7-1
7.3 Public Officials	7-2
7.4 State Agencies	7-2
7.5 Regional Government.....	7-2
7.6 Local Government	7-3
7.7 Libraries and Educational Institutions	7-3
7.8 Media	7-4
7.9 Utilities	7-4
7.10 Businesses.....	7-4
7.11 Interest Groups.....	7-5
7.12 Individuals	7-5

Chapter 8 List of Preparers..... **8-1**

Glossary and Acronyms

Index

Appendix A Public Involvement

Appendix B Agency Correspondence

Appendix C Technical Baseline Study – Executive Summary

List of Tables and Figures

Tables

2.1-1	Project Wind Turbine Features	2-3
2.1-2	Acreage of Agricultural and Non-Agricultural Land that Would Be Affected by Phase 1 (41 Turbines), Phase 2 (42 Turbines), and Both Phases Combined (83 Turbines)	2-6
2.1-3	Construction Equipment Anticipated for the Proposed Project.....	2-7
2.4-1	Comparison of Alternatives.....	2-14
3.6-1	Oregon Natural Heritage List of Sensitive Animal Species Known to Occur in Gilliam County	3-20
3.10-1	Race in Gilliam County and Oregon, 2000	3-47
3.10-2	Potential Annual Economic Benefits to Local and Regional Economies Resulting from the Proposed Project	3-50
3.12-1	National Primary Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3-55
3.13-1	Oregon State Allowable Hourly Noise Levels (dBA)	3-58
3.13-2	Examples of “A-Weighted” Sound Levels	3-59
3.13-3	Summary of Background Sound Level Measurements	3-60
3.13-4	Construction Equipment Noise.....	3-61
3.13-5	Summary of Background Sound Levels and Predicted A-Weighted Sound Levels during Project Operation (dBA).....	3-62

Figures

2.1-1	Project Site and Study Area Location.....	follows 2-2
2.1-2	Phase 1 and Phase 2 Turbine String Locations.....	follows 2-2
2.1-3	Turbine Features, 600-KW	follows 2-2
3.3-1	Soil Erosion Potential in Project Area.....	follows 3-6
3.5-1	Vegetation and Wetlands in Project Area.....	follows 3-14
3.8-1	Historic Sites in Project Area	follows 3-36
3.9-1	Key Map of Photosimulation Locations	follows 3-44
3.9-2	Photosimulation Site 1 – Looking West on ORE 206	follows 3-44
3.9-3	Photosimulation Site 2 – Looking North on ORE 206	follows 3-44
3.9-4	Photosimulation Site 3 – Looking Southwest from Ferry Canyon Road.....	follows 3-44

3.9-4a	Photosimulation Site 3 – Looking Southwest from Ferry Canyon Road (Black Rotor Blades)	follows 3-44
3.9-5	Photosimulation Site 4 – Looking South on ORE 206.....	follows 3-44
3.9-6	Photosimulation Site 5 – Looking Southeast on ORE 206	follows 3-44
3.13-1	Noise Measurement Locations	follows 3-60