

Generally, people in occupations not directly related to high-voltage equipment are exposed to electric fields comparable with those of residential exposures. For example, the average electric field measured in 14 commercial and retail locations in rural Wisconsin and Michigan was 4.8 V/m (ITT Research Institute, 1984). Median electric field was about 3.4 V/m. These values are about one-third the values in residences reported in the same study. Power-frequency electric fields near video display terminals (VTDs) are about 10 V/m, similar to those of other appliances (Harvey, 1983). Electric-field levels in public buildings such as shops, offices, and malls appear to be comparable with levels in residences.

In a survey of 1,882 volunteers from utilities, electric-field exposures were measured for 2,082 work days and 657 non-work days (Bracken, 1990). Electric-field exposures for occupations other than those directly related to high-voltage equipment were equivalent to those for non-work exposure.

Thus, except for the relatively few occupations where high-voltage sources are prevalent, electric fields encountered in the workplace are probably similar to those of residential exposures. Even in electric-utility occupations where high field sources are present, exposures to high fields are limited on average to minutes per day.

Electric fields found in publicly accessible areas near high-voltage transmission lines can typically range up to 3 kV/m for 230-kV lines, to 10 kV/m for 500-kV lines, and to 12 kV/m for 765-kV lines. Although these peak levels are considerably higher than the levels found in other public areas, they are present only in limited areas on rights-of-way.

The calculated electric fields for the proposed Grand Coulee – Bell 500-kV transmission line are consistent with the levels reported for other 500-kV transmission lines in Oregon, Washington, and elsewhere. The electric fields on the right-of-way of the proposed transmission line, as calculated, would be much higher than levels normally encountered in residences and offices.

## **4.0 Magnetic Field**

### **4.1 Basic Concepts**

Magnetic fields can be characterized by the force they exert on a moving charge or on an electrical current. As with the electric field, the magnetic field is a vector quantity characterized by both magnitude and direction. Electrical currents generate magnetic fields. In the case of transmission lines, distribution lines, house wiring, and appliances, the 60-Hz electric current flowing in the conductors generates a time-varying, 60-Hz magnetic field in the vicinity of these sources. The strength of a magnetic field is measured in terms of magnetic lines of force per unit area, or magnetic flux density. The term “magnetic field,” as used here, is synonymous with magnetic flux density and is expressed in units of Gauss (G) or milligauss (mG).

The uniformity of a magnetic field depends on the nature and proximity of the source, just as the uniformity of an electric field does. Transmission-line-generated magnetic fields are quite uniform over horizontal and vertical distances of several feet near the ground. However, for small sources such as appliances, the magnetic field decreases rapidly over distances comparable with the size of the device.

The interaction of a time-varying magnetic field with conducting objects results in induced electric field and currents in the object. A changing magnetic field through an area generates a voltage around any conducting loop enclosing the area (Faraday's law). This is the physical basis for the operation of an electrical transformer. For a time-varying sinusoidal magnetic field, the magnitude of the induced

voltage around the loop is proportional to the area of the loop, the frequency of the field, and the magnitude of the field. The induced voltage around the loop results in an induced electric field and current flow in the loop material. The induced current that flows in the loop depends on the conductivity of the loop.

## **4.2 Transmission-line Magnetic Fields**

The magnetic field generated by currents on transmission-line conductors extends from the conductors through the air and into the ground. The magnitude of the field at a height of 3.28 ft. (1 m) is frequently used to describe the magnetic field under transmission lines. Because the magnetic field is not affected by non-ferrous materials, the field is not influenced by normal objects on the ground under the line. The direction of the maximum field varies with location. (The electric field, by contrast, is essentially vertical near the ground.) The most important transmission-line parameters that determine the magnetic field at 3.28 ft. (1 m) height are conductor height above ground and magnitude of the currents flowing in the conductors. As distance from the transmission-line conductors increases, the magnetic field decreases.

Calculations of magnetic fields from transmission lines are performed using well-known physical principles (cf., Deno and Zaffanella, 1982). The calculated values usually represent the ideal straight parallel-conductor configuration. For simplicity, a flat earth is usually assumed. Balanced currents (currents of the same magnitude for each phase) are also assumed. This is usually valid for transmission lines, where loads on all three phases are maintained in balance during operation. Induced image currents in the earth are usually ignored for calculations of magnetic field under or near the right-of-way. The resulting error is negligible. Only at distances greater than 300 ft. (91 m) from a line do such contributions become significant (Deno and Zaffanella, 1982). The clearance for magnetic-field calculations for the proposed line was the same as that used for electric-field evaluations.

Standard techniques for measuring magnetic fields near transmission lines are described in ANSI IEEE Standard No. 644-1987 (IEEE, 1987). Measured magnetic fields agree well with calculated values, provided the currents and line heights that go into the calculation correspond to the actual values for the line. To realize such agreement, it is necessary to get accurate current readings during field measurements (because currents on transmission lines can vary considerably over short periods of time) and also to account for all field sources in the vicinity of the measurements.

As with electric fields, the maximum or peak magnetic fields occur in areas near the centerline and at midspan where the conductors are the lowest. The magnetic field at the edge of the right-of-way is not very dependent on line height. If more than one line is present, the peak field will depend on the relative electrical phasing of the conductors and the direction of power flow.

## **4.3 Calculated Values for Magnetic Fields**

Table 4 gives the calculated values of the magnetic field at 3.28 ft. (1 m) height for the proposed Grand Coulee - Bell 500-kV transmission-line configurations. Field values on the right-of-way and at the edge of the right-of-way are given for projected maximum currents during system annual peak load in 2005, for minimum and average conductor clearances. The maximum currents are 1800 A on each of the three phases of the proposed line. For double-circuit Configurations 5, 7, 9, and 10, the current would be split between the two sets of conductors, resulting in 900 A per conductor bundle.

The actual magnetic-field levels would vary, as currents on the lines change daily and seasonally and as ambient temperature changes. Average currents over the year would be about 50% of the maximum

values. The levels shown in the figures represent the highest magnetic fields expected for the proposed Grand Coulee – Bell 500-kV line. Average fields over a year would be considerably reduced from the peak values, as a result of increased clearances above the minimum value and reduced currents from the maximum value.

Figure 3 shows lateral profiles of the magnetic field under maximum current and minimum clearance conditions for the existing corridor and for configurations with the proposed 500-kV transmission line. A field profile for average height under Configuration 2 is included in Figure 2b.

For the proposed 500-kV line, the maximum calculated 60-Hz magnetic field expected at 3.28 ft. (1 m) above ground is 333 mG in Configuration 1. This field is calculated for the maximum current of 1800 A, with the conductors at a height of 35 ft. (10.7 m). The maximum field would decrease for increased conductor clearance. For an average conductor height over a span of 45 ft. (13.7 m), the maximum field would be 229 mG. Maximum fields under the proposed line configurations range from 119 to 333 mG for minimum clearance and from 82 to 229 mG for average clearance. For the existing lines, the peak magnetic fields on the rights-of-way are 339 mG and 199 mG, for the 500-kV and 230-kV lines, respectively.

The magnetic field at the edge of the right-of-way depends on the width of the right-of-way, which varies considerably for the proposed line. For maximum current conditions, the calculated magnetic field at the edge of the right-of-way is 83 mG for Configuration 2 (where the proposed line is at the edge of the right-of-way). For Configurations 3 to 10 (where the proposed line does not abut the edge of the right-of-way), the magnetic fields at the edge of the right-of-way are generally comparable to or less than the existing fields there. The absence of an increase in edge-of-right-of-way fields is due to the distance of the proposed line from the edge of the right-of-way and to the reduction in currents in the existing lines that would occur with the introduction of the proposed line.

#### **4.4 Environmental Magnetic Fields**

Transmission lines are not the only source of magnetic fields; as with 60-Hz electric fields, 60-Hz magnetic fields are present throughout the environment of a society that relies on electricity as a principal energy source. The magnetic fields associated with the proposed Grand Coulee – Bell 500-kV line can be compared with fields from other sources. The range of 60-Hz magnetic-field exposures in publicly accessible locations such as open spaces, transmission-line rights-of-way, streets, pedestrian walkways, parks, shopping malls, parking lots, shops, hotels, public transportation, and so on range from less than 0.1 mG to about 1 G, with the highest values occurring near small appliances with electric motors. In occupational settings in electric utilities, where high currents are present, magnetic-field exposures for workers can be above 1 G. At 60 Hz, the magnitude of the natural magnetic field is approximately 0.0005 mG.

Several investigations of residential fields have been conducted. In a large study to identify and quantify significant sources of 60-Hz magnetic fields in residences, measurements were made in 996 houses, randomly selected throughout the country (Zaffanella, 1993). The most common sources of residential fields were power lines, the grounding system of residences, and appliances. Field levels were characterized by both point-in-time (spot) measurements and 24-hour measurements. Spot measurements averaged over all rooms in a house exceeded 0.6 mG in 50% of the houses and 2.9 mG in 5% of houses. Power lines generally produced the largest average fields in a house over a 24-hour period. On the other hand, grounding system currents proved to be a more significant source of the highest fields in a house. Appliances were found to produce the highest local fields; however, fields fell off rapidly with increased distance. For example, the median field near microwave ovens was 36.9 mG at a distance of 10.5 in.

(0.27 m) and 2.1 mG at 46 in. (1.17 m). Across the entire sample of 996 houses, higher magnetic fields were found in, among others, urban areas (vs. rural); multi-unit dwellings (vs. single-family); old houses (vs. new); and houses with grounding to a municipal water system.

In an extensive measurement project to characterize the magnetic-field exposure of the general population, over 1000 randomly selected persons in the United States wore a personal exposure meter for 24 hours and recorded their location in a simple diary (Zaffanella and Kalton, 1998). Based on the measurements of 853 persons, the estimated 24-hour average exposure for the general population is 1.24 mG and the estimated median exposure is 0.88 mG. The average field “at home, not in bed” is 1.27 mG and “at home, in bed” is 1.11 mG. Average personal exposures were found to be highest “at work” (mean of 1.79 mG and median of 1.01 mG) and lowest “at home, in bed” (mean of 1.11 mG and median of 0.49 mG). Average fields in school were also low (mean of 0.88 mG and median of 0.69 mG). Factors associated with higher exposures at home were smaller residences, duplexes and apartments, metallic rather than plastic water pipes, and nearby overhead distribution lines.

As noted above, magnetic fields from appliances are localized and decrease rapidly with distance from the source. Localized 60-Hz magnetic fields have been measured near about 100 household appliances such as ranges, refrigerators, electric drills, food mixers, and shavers (Gauger, 1985). At a distance of 1 ft. (0.3 m), the maximum magnetic field ranged from 0.3 to 270 mG, with 95% of the measurements below 100 mG. Ninety-five percent of the levels at a distance of 4.9 ft. (1.5 m) were less than 1 mG. Devices that use light-weight, high-torque motors with little magnetic shielding exhibited the largest fields. These included vacuum cleaners and small hand-held appliances and tools. Microwave ovens with large power transformers also exhibited relatively large fields. Electric blankets have been a much-studied source of magnetic-field exposure because of the length of time they are used and because of the close proximity to the body. Florig and Hoburg (1988) estimated that the average magnetic field in a person using an electric blanket was 15 mG, and that the maximum field could be 100 mG. New "low-field" blankets have magnetic fields at least 10 times lower than those from conventional blankets (Bassen et al., 1991).

In a domestic magnetic-field survey, Silva et al. (1989) measured fields near different appliances at locations typifying normal use (e.g., sitting at an electric typewriter or standing at a stove). Specific appliances with relatively large fields included can openers (n = 9), with typical fields ranging from 30 to 225 mG and a maximum value up to 2.7 G; shavers (n = 4), with typical fields from 50 to 300 mG and maximum fields up to 6.9 G; and electric drills (n = 2), with typical fields from 56 to 190 mG and maximum fields up to 1.5 G. The fields from such appliances fall off very rapidly with distance and are only present for short periods. Thus, although instantaneous magnetic-field levels close to small hand-held appliances can be quite large, they do not contribute to average area levels in residences.

Although studies of residential magnetic fields have not all considered the same independent parameters, the following consistent characterization of residential magnetic fields emerges from the data:

- (1) External sources play a large role in determining residential magnetic-field levels. Transmission lines, when nearby, are an important external source. Unbalanced ground currents on neutral conductors and other conductors, such as water pipes in and near a house, can represent a significant source of magnetic field. Distribution lines per se, unless they are quite close to a residence, do not appear to be a traditional distance-dependent source.
- (2) Homes with overhead electrical service appear to have higher average fields than those with underground service.

- (3) Appliances represent a localized source of magnetic fields that can be much higher than average or area fields. However, fields from appliances approach area levels at distances greater than 3.28 ft. (1 m) from the device.

Although important variables in determining residential magnetic fields have been identified, quantification and modeling of their influence on fields at specific locations is not yet possible. However, a general characterization of residential magnetic-field level is possible: average levels in the United States are in the range of 0.5 to 1.0 mG, with the average field in a small number of homes exceeding this range by as much as a factor of 10 or more. Average personal exposure levels are slightly higher, possibly due to use of appliances and varying distances to other sources. Maximum fields can be much higher.

Magnetic fields in commercial and retail locations are comparable with those in residences. As with appliances, certain equipment or machines can be a local source of higher magnetic fields. Utility workers who work close to transformers, generators, cables, transmission lines, and distribution systems clearly experience high-level fields. Other sources of fields in the workplace include motors, welding machines, computers, and video display terminals (VDTs). In publicly accessible indoor areas, such as offices and stores, field levels are generally comparable with residential levels, unless a high-current source is nearby.

Because high-current sources of magnetic field are more prevalent than high-voltage sources, occupational environments with relatively high magnetic fields encompass a more diverse set of occupations than do those with high electric fields. For example, in occupational magnetic-field measurements reported by Bowman et al. (1988), the geometric mean field from 105 measurements of magnetic field in "electrical worker" job locations was 5.0 mG. "Electrical worker" environments showed the following elevated magnetic-field levels (geometric mean greater than 20 mG): industrial power supplies, alternating current (ac) welding machines, and sputtering systems for electronic assembly. For secretaries in the same study, the geometric mean field was 3.1 mG for those using VDTs (n = 6) and 1.1 mG for those not using VDTs (n = 3).

Measurements of personal exposure to magnetic fields were made for 1,882 volunteer utility workers for a total of 4,411 workdays (Bracken, 1990). Median workday mean exposures ranged from 0.5 mG for clerical workers without computers to 7.2 mG for substation operators. Occupations not specifically associated with transmission and distribution facilities had median workday exposures less than 1.5 mG, while those associated with such facilities had median exposures above 2.3 mG. Magnetic-field exposures measured in homes during this study were comparable with those recorded in offices.

Magnetic fields in publicly accessible outdoor areas seem to be, as expected, directly related to proximity to electric-power transmission and distribution facilities. Near such facilities, magnetic fields are generally higher than indoors (residential). Higher-voltage facilities tend to have higher fields. Typical maximum magnetic fields in publicly accessible areas near transmission facilities can range from less than a few milligauss up to 300 mG or more, near heavily loaded lines operated at 230 to 765 kV. The levels depend on the line load, conductor height, and location on the right-of-way. Because magnetic fields near high-voltage transmission lines depend on the current in the line, they can vary daily and seasonally. To characterize fields from the distribution system, Heroux (1987) measured 60-Hz magnetic fields with a mobile platform along 140 mi. (223 km) of roads in Montreal. The median field level averaged over nine different routes was 1.6 mG, with 90% of the measurements less than about 5.1 mG. Spot measurements indicated that typical fields directly above underground distribution systems were 5 to 19 mG. Beneath overhead distribution lines, typical fields were 1.5 to 5 mG on the primary side of the

transformer, and 4 to 10 mG on the secondary side. Near ground-based transformers used in residential areas, fields were 80 to 1000 mG at the surface and 10 to 100 mG at a distance of 1 ft. (0.3 m).

The magnetic fields from the proposed line would be comparable to or less than those from existing 500-kV lines in Oregon, Washington, and elsewhere. On and near the right-of-way of the proposed line, magnetic fields would be well above average residential levels. However, the fields from the line would decrease rapidly and approach common ambient levels at distances greater than a few hundred feet from the edge of the right-of-way. Furthermore, the fields at the edge of the right-of-way would not be above those encountered during normal activities near common sources such as hand-held appliances.

## **5.0 Electric and Magnetic Field (EMF) Effects**

Possible effects associated with the interaction of EMF from transmission lines with people on and near a right-of-way fall into two categories: short-term effects that can be perceived and may represent a nuisance, and possible long-term health effects. Only short-term effects are discussed here. The issue of whether there are long-term health effects associated with transmission-line fields is controversial. In recent years, considerable research on possible biological effects of EMF has been conducted. A review of these studies and their implications for health-related effects is provided in a separate technical report for the environmental assessment for the proposed Grand Coulee – Bell 500-kV transmission line.

### **5.1 Electric Fields: Short-term Effects**

Short-term effects from transmission-line electric fields are associated with perception of induced currents and voltages or perception of the field. Induced current or spark discharge shocks can be experienced under certain conditions when a person contacts objects in an electric field. Such effects occur in the fields associated with transmission lines that have voltages of 230-kV or higher. These effects could occur infrequently under the proposed Grand Coulee – Bell 500-kV line.

Steady-state currents are those that flow continuously after a person contacts an object and provides a path to ground for the induced current. The amplitude of the steady-state current depends on the induced current to the object in question and on the grounding path. The magnitude of the induced current to vehicles and objects under the proposed line will depend on the electric-field strength and the size and shape of the object. When an object is electrically grounded, the voltage on the object is reduced to zero, and it is not a source of current or voltage shocks. If the object is poorly grounded or not grounded at all, then it acquires some voltage relative to earth and is a possible source of current or voltage shocks.

The responses of persons to steady-state current shocks have been extensively studied, and levels of response documented (Keeseey and Letcher, 1969; IEEE, 1978). Primary shocks are those that can result in direct physiological harm. Such shocks will not be possible from induced currents under the existing or proposed lines, because clearances above ground required by the NESC preclude such shocks from large vehicles and grounding practices eliminate large stationary objects as sources of such shocks.

Secondary shocks are defined as those that could cause an involuntary and potentially harmful movement, but no direct physiological harm. Secondary shocks could occur under the proposed 500-kV line when making contact with ungrounded conducting objects such as vehicles or equipment. However, such occurrences are anticipated to be very infrequent. Shocks, when they occur under the 500-kV line, are most likely to be below the nuisance level. Induced currents are extremely unlikely to be perceived off the right-of-way where the proposed line abuts the edge of the right-of-way (Configurations 1 and 2).