

CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Summary	1-1
1.1	Purpose and need	1-1
1.2	Alternatives and proposed action	1-1
1.3	Affected environment of proposed action	1-2
1.4	Environmental consequences of proposed action	1-2
2	Purpose and need for action	2-1
2.1	Need for second source	2-1
2.2	Selection of second source	2-3
3	Alternatives and proposed action	3-1
3.1	Alternatives	3-1
3.2	Proposed action	3-1
3.2.1	Process description	3-1
3.2.2	Description of proposed facility	3-2
4	Affected environment	4-1
4.1	Site location	4-1
4.2	Demography, land use, and socioeconomics	4-1
4.3	Geology and seismology	4-4
4.4	Hydrology	4-4
4.5	Ecology	4-5
4.6	Meteorology and air quality	4-6
5	Environmental consequences	5-1
5.1	Construction effects	5-1
5.1.1	Socioeconomics	5-1
5.1.2	Land use	5-5
5.1.3	Water quality and ecology	5-6
5.1.4	Air quality and noise	5-6
5.2	Operational effects	5-7
5.2.1	Socioeconomics	5-7
5.2.2	Land use	5-7
5.2.3	Water quality and aquatic ecology	5-8
5.2.4	Air quality and terrestrial ecology	5-8
5.2.5	Radiological impacts	5-10
5.3	Facility accidents	5-12
5.3.1	FMF building and operations	5-12
5.3.2	Accident analysis results	5-13
5.4	Transportation	5-14
5.5	Decontamination and decommissioning	5-15
5.6	Composite impacts	5-15
5.6.1	Socioeconomics and land use	5-15
5.6.2	Radiological impacts	5-18

CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>	
6		
6.1	Alternate FMF site	6-1
6.1	Affected environment	6-1
6.2	Environmental consequences	6-3
6.2.1	Construction	6-3
6.2.2	Operation	6-4
6.3	Facility accidents	6-5
6.4	Transportation	6-5
6.5	Composite impacts	6-5
Appendix A. Site selection evaluation		
Appendix B. Radiological impact analysis methods		
Appendix C. Comments received on this Environmental Assessment		

TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1-1	Potential impacts from construction and operation of the Fuel Materials Facility	1-3
4-1	1980 Georgia and 1979 South Carolina ambient air quality standards and measures	4-8
5-1	Socioeconomic impact on the six-county SRP area from the construction of the Fuel Materials Facility	5-3
5-2	SRP regional multipliers	5-4
5-3	FMF construction economic impact analysis	5-5
5-4	Chemical characteristics of FMF process waste water	5-9
5-5	Air pollutant emission rates	5-9
5-6	Air pollutant concentrations at ground level	5-9
5-7	Radiological impacts of normal FMF operations	5-11
5-8	Radiological impacts due to FMF incidents and accidents	5-14
5-9	Composite SRP land use and socioeconomic impact on the six-county area	5-17
5-10	Composite SRP economic impact analysis, mid-1984	5-18
6-1	ORR regional multipliers	6-4
6-2	Radiological impacts of normal FMF operations at Oak Ridge Reservation	6-6
6-3	Radiological impacts due to FMF incidents and accidents at the Oak Ridge site	6-7

FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
2-1	Front-end fuel cycle: Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program	2-2
3-1	Plot plan of Fuel Materials Facility	3-3
3-2	FMF building layout	3-4
4-1	Savannah River Plant	4-2
4-2	Map of 200-F Area, with existing facilities and proposed FMF site	4-3
4-3	Wind direction frequency near SRP from 1976 to 1977	4-7
5-1	Projected FMF construction and prestart work force at the Savannah River Plant	5-2
6-1	Oak Ridge	6-2