

DOE/EIS - 0277F
August 1998

Final Environmental Impact Statement

on Management of Certain Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy
Stored at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site

Volume 1



U.S. Department of Energy
Assistant Secretary for
Environmental Management
Washington, DC 20585

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 1

	<i>Page</i>
Table of Contents	i
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	x
Acronyms and Abbreviations	xvii
1. INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Background	1-1
1.2 Purpose and Need for Agency Action	1-2
1.2.1 Safeguards Termination Requirements	1-3
1.2.2 Disposition of Waste and Separated Plutonium	1-4
1.3 Scope of this EIS	1-4
1.3.1 Changes between the Draft and Final Versions of this EIS	1-5
1.4 Decisions To Be Made Based on this EIS	1-7
1.4.1 Decisions	1-7
1.4.2 Process and Schedule for Decisions	1-8
1.5 Relationship to Other NEPA Documents and Reports	1-9
1.5.1 Environmental Assessment, Finding of No Significant Impact, and Response to Comments—Solid Residue Treatment, Repackaging, and Storage (DOE 1996h, April 1996)	1-9
1.5.2 Rocky Flats Site-Wide Environmental Impact Statement Notice of Intent (DOE 1994d, August 5, 1994)	1-9
1.5.3 Interim Storage of Plutonium at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Environmental Impact Statement Notice of Intent (DOE 1996f, July 1996)	1-10
1.5.4 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Disposal Phase Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (DOE 1997c, September 1997)	1-10
1.5.5 Final Waste Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Managing Treatment, Storage, and Disposal of Radioactive and Hazardous Waste (DOE 1997g, May 1997)	1-10
1.5.6 Storage and Disposition of Weapons-Usable Fissile Materials Final Programmatic Impact Statement (DOE 1996a, December 1996)	1-11
1.5.7 Surplus Plutonium Disposition Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DOE 1998a, July 1998)	1-11
1.5.8 Final Environmental Impact Statement for Continued Operation of Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (DOE 1992, August 1992)	1-11
1.5.9 Draft Site-Wide Environmental Impact Statement for Continued Operation of the Los Alamos National Laboratory (DOE 1998b, April 1998)	1-12
1.5.10 Final Interim Management of Nuclear Materials Environmental Impact Statement (DOE 1995b, October 1995)	1-12
1.5.11 Accelerating Cleanup: Paths to Closure (DOE 1998d, June 1998)	1-12
1.5.12 DOE Nonproliferation Study (Pending)	1-13
1.5.13 Savannah River Site Chemical Separations Facilities Multi-Year Plan (DOE 1997d, September 1997)	1-13
1.5.14 Recommendation 94-1, Improved Schedule for Remediation in the Defense Nuclear Facilities Complex (DNFSB June 1994)	1-14
1.5.15 Plutonium Finishing Plant Stabilization Final Environmental Impact Statement (DOE 1996g, May 1996)	1-14
1.5.16 Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Cumulative Impacts Document (DOE 1997e, June 1997)	1-14
1.6 Public Comment Process	1-14
1.7 Structure of this EIS	1-15
1.8 References	1-16

2.	ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1	Overview of the Alternatives	2-1
2.2	Quantity and Characteristics of Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy at Rocky Flats	2-5
2.3	Processing Technologies Assessed in this EIS	2-6
2.3.1	Processes Included in No Action—Stabilize and Store (Alternative 1)	2-8
2.3.2	Process without Plutonium Separation (Alternative 2)	2-8
2.3.3	Process with Plutonium Separation (Alternative 3)	2-10
2.3.4	Combination of Processing Technologies (Alternative 4)	2-10
2.4	Management Alternatives for Each Material Category	2-10
2.4.1	Management of Ash Residues	2-10
2.4.1.1	Alternative 1—No Action—Stabilize and Store	2-12
2.4.1.2	Alternative 2—Process without Plutonium Separation	2-13
2.4.1.3	Alternative 3—Process with Plutonium Separation	2-14
2.4.1.4	Alternative 4—Combination of Processing Technologies	2-15
2.4.2	Management of Pyrochemical Salt Residues	2-15
2.4.2.1	Alternative 1—No Action—Stabilize and Store	2-18
2.4.2.2	Alternative 2—Process without Plutonium Separation	2-18
2.4.2.3	Alternative 3—Process with Plutonium Separation	2-18
2.4.2.4	Alternative 4—Combination of Processing Technologies	2-21
2.4.3	Management of Combustible Residues	2-21
2.4.3.1	Alternative 1—No Action—Stabilize and Store	2-22
2.4.3.2	Alternative 2—Process without Plutonium Separation	2-23
2.4.3.3	Alternative 3—Process with Plutonium Separation	2-24
2.4.3.4	Alternative 4—Combination of Processing Technologies	2-25
2.4.4	Management of Plutonium Fluoride Residues	2-25
2.4.4.1	Alternative 1—No Action—Stabilize and Store	2-26
2.4.4.2	Alternative 2—Process without Plutonium Separation	2-27
2.4.4.3	Alternative 3—Process with Plutonium Separation	2-27
2.4.4.4	Alternative 4—Combination of Processing Technologies	2-27
2.4.5	Management of Filter Media Residues	2-27
2.4.5.1	Alternative 1—No Action—Stabilize and Store	2-28
2.4.5.2	Alternative 2—Process without Plutonium Separation	2-28
2.4.5.3	Alternative 3—Process with Plutonium Separation	2-29
2.4.5.4	Alternative 4—Combination of Processing Technologies	2-30
2.4.6	Management of Sludge Residues	2-30
2.4.6.1	Alternative 1—No Action—Stabilize and Store	2-30
2.4.6.2	Alternative 2—Process without Plutonium Separation	2-31
2.4.6.3	Alternative 3—Process with Plutonium Separation	2-31
2.4.6.4	Alternative 4—Combination of Processing Technologies	2-32
2.4.7	Management of Glass Residues	2-32
2.4.7.1	Alternative 1—No Action—Stabilize and Store	2-33
2.4.7.2	Alternative 2—Process without Plutonium Separation	2-33
2.4.7.3	Alternative 3—Process with Plutonium Separation	2-34
2.4.7.4	Alternative 4—Combination of Processing Technologies	2-34
2.4.8	Management of Graphite Residues	2-35
2.4.8.1	Alternative 1—No Action—Stabilize and Store	2-35
2.4.8.2	Alternative 2—Process without Plutonium Separation	2-35
2.4.8.3	Alternative 3—Process with Plutonium Separation	2-36
2.4.8.4	Alternative 4—Combination of Processing Technologies	2-37
2.4.9	Management of Inorganic (Metal and Others) Residues	2-37
2.4.9.1	Alternative 1—No Action—Stabilize and Store	2-37
2.4.9.2	Alternative 2—Process without Plutonium Separation	2-38
2.4.9.3	Alternative 3—Process with Plutonium Separation	2-39
2.4.9.4	Alternative 4—Combination of Processing Technologies	2-39
2.4.10	Management of Scrub Alloy	2-39

	2.4.10.1	Alternative 1—No Action—Stabilize and Store	2-39
	2.4.10.2	Alternative 2—Process without Plutonium Separation	2-40
	2.4.10.3	Alternative 3—Process with Plutonium Separation	2-41
	2.4.10.4	Alternative 4—Combination of Processing Technologies	2-41
	2.5	Strategic Management approaches	2-41
	2.5.1	No Action Alternative—Stabilize and Store	2-42
	2.5.2	Preferred Alternative	2-43
	2.5.3	Other Management Approaches	2-45
	2.6	Storage Methods and Issues	2-45
	2.6.1	Storage of Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy	2-48
	2.6.2	Storage of Plutonium Metal and Oxides	2-48
	2.7	Disposal or Other Disposition	2-49
	2.7.1	Disposal of Transuranic Waste at WIPP	2-50
	2.7.2	Disposition of Plutonium Oxide and Metal	2-50
	2.8	Transportation	2-51
	2.8.1	Ground Transportation System Descriptions	2-52
	2.8.1.1	The Safe, Secure Trailer System	2-52
	2.8.1.2	The Commercial Transport System	2-54
	2.8.2	Ground Transportation Route Selection Process	2-54
	2.8.3	Emergency Management Considerations	2-56
	2.8.4	Security Considerations	2-57
	2.9	Sites, Technologies, and Issues Not Analyzed	2-57
	2.9.1	Initial Screening and Evaluation Process	2-57
	2.9.2	Sites and Technologies Not Analyzed	2-58
	2.9.3	Issues Raised During the Public Scoping Process That Are Not Analyzed	2-60
	2.9.4	Issues Raised During the Public Scoping Process That Are Out of Scope	2-64
	2.10	Summary of Impacts	2-65
	2.11	References	2-94
	3.	THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	3-1
	3.1	Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site	3-3
	3.1.1	Land Resources	3-6
	3.1.2	Site Infrastructure	3-6
	3.1.3	Air Quality and Noise	3-9
	3.1.4	Water Resources	3-12
	3.1.5	Geology, Soils, and Seismology	3-16
	3.1.6	Ecology	3-17
	3.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-20
	3.1.8	Socioeconomics	3-20
	3.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety	3-25
	3.1.10	Waste Management	3-28
	3.2	Savannah River Site	3-32
	3.2.1	Land Resources	3-34
	3.2.2	Site Infrastructure	3-35
	3.2.3	Air Quality and Noise	3-36
	3.2.4	Water Resources	3-39
	3.2.5	Geology, Soils, and Seismology	3-41
	3.2.6	Ecology	3-42
	3.2.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-43
	3.2.8	Socioeconomics	3-44
	3.2.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety	3-49
	3.2.10	Waste Management	3-51
	3.3	Los Alamos National Laboratory	3-57
	3.3.1	Land Resources	3-57
	3.3.2	Site Infrastructure	3-59

3.3.3	Air Quality and Noise	3-61
3.3.4	Water Resources	3-65
3.3.5	Geology, Soils, and Seismology	3-68
3.3.6	Ecology	3-70
3.3.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-71
3.3.8	Socioeconomics	3-73
3.3.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety	3-74
3.3.10	Waste Management	3-79
3.4	References	3-85
4.	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	4-1
4.1	Overview of Environmental Impacts	4-1
4.1.1	Presentation of the Environmental Impacts	4-1
4.1.2	Products and Wastes	4-3
4.1.3	General Radiological and Chemical Health Consequences	4-4
4.1.4	Risks	4-8
4.1.5	Comparison of Health and Safety Risks with Common Risks to the Public	4-9
4.1.6	Estimated Radiation Dose Rate Near the Plutonium Transportation Containers	4-11
4.1.7	Plutonium and Americium Toxicity	4-11
4.1.8	WIPP Waste Acceptance Criteria	4-11
4.1.9	Nuclear Nonproliferation Considerations	4-12
4.2	Impacts of Managing Ash Residues	4-14
4.2.1	Products and Wastes	4-15
4.2.2	Public and Occupational Health and Safety Impacts	4-18
4.2.2.1	Incident-Free Operations	4-19
4.2.2.2	Accidents	4-26
4.3	Impacts of Managing Pyrochemical Salt Residues	4-35
4.3.1	Products and Wastes	4-36
4.3.2	Public and Occupational Health and Safety Impacts	4-39
4.3.2.1	Incident-Free Operations	4-40
4.3.2.2	Accidents	4-44
4.4	Impacts of Managing Combustible Residues	4-56
4.4.1	Products and Wastes	4-56
4.4.2	Public and Occupational Health and Safety Impacts	4-58
4.4.2.1	Incident-Free Operations	4-58
4.4.2.2	Accidents	4-60
4.5	Impacts of Managing Plutonium Fluoride Residues	4-63
4.5.1	Products and Wastes	4-65
4.5.2	Public and Occupational Health and Safety Impacts	4-65
4.5.2.1	Incident-Free Operations	4-67
4.5.2.2	Accidents	4-69
4.6	Impacts of Managing Filter Media Residues	4-72
4.6.1	Products and Wastes	4-72
4.6.2	Public and Occupational Health and Safety Impacts	4-75
4.6.2.1	Incident-Free Operations	4-75
4.6.2.2	Accidents	4-77
4.7	Impacts of Managing Sludge Residues	4-84
4.7.1	Products and Wastes	4-84
4.7.2	Public and Occupational Health and Safety Impacts	4-85
4.7.2.1	Incident-Free Operations	4-85
4.7.2.2	Accidents	4-88
4.8	Impacts of Managing Glass Residues	4-88
4.8.1	Products and Wastes	4-91
4.8.2	Public and Occupational Health and Safety Impacts	4-91
4.8.2.1	Incident-Free Operations	4-93

4.8.2.2	Accidents	4-93
4.9	Impacts of Managing Graphite Residues	4-98
4.9.1	Products and Wastes	4-98
4.9.2	Public and Occupational Health and Safety Impacts	4-100
4.9.2.1	Incident-Free Operations	4-100
4.9.2.2	Accidents	4-102
4.10	Impacts of Managing Inorganic Residues	4-105
4.10.1	Products and Wastes	4-105
4.10.2	Public and Occupational Health and Safety Impacts	4-107
4.10.2.1	Incident-Free Operations	4-107
4.10.2.2	Accidents	4-110
4.11	Impacts of Managing Scrub Alloy	4-113
4.11.1	Products and Wastes	4-115
4.11.2	Public and Occupational Health and Safety Impacts	4-115
4.11.2.1	Incident-Free Operations	4-117
4.11.2.2	Accidents	4-117
4.12	Air Quality	4-120
4.13	Water Quality	4-127
4.13.1	Rocky Flats	4-127
4.13.2	Savannah River Site	4-129
4.13.3	Los Alamos National Laboratory	4-129
4.14	Impacts of Post-Processing Storage	4-130
4.14.1	Impacts of Incident-Free Storage	4-130
4.14.1.1	Interim Storage of Stabilized Residues in the No Action Alternative	4-131
4.14.1.2	Lag Storage	4-131
4.14.1.3	Storage of Transuranic Waste if Shipments to WIPP are Delayed	4-131
4.14.2	Impacts of Accidents During Storage	4-132
4.15	Impacts of Final Transportation and Disposal/Disposition	4-132
4.15.1	Final Transportation	4-132
4.15.2	Disposal/Disposition	4-135
4.16	Environmental Justice	4-136
4.17	Costs, Processing Durations, and Uncertainties	4-136
4.17.1	Cost Estimation Procedures	4-136
4.17.2	Cost Factors	4-137
4.17.3	Cost of the Minimum Cost Management Approach	4-138
4.17.4	Cost of the Preferred Alternative	4-140
4.17.5	Cost of the No Action Alternative	4-143
4.17.6	Cost of the Minimum Duration Management Approach	4-143
4.17.7	Technical Uncertainties	4-145
4.18	Socioeconomics	4-147
4.18.1	The No Action Alternative at Rocky Flats	4-154
4.18.2	Other Management Approaches at Rocky Flats	4-155
4.18.3	Savannah River Site	4-156
4.18.4	Los Alamos National Laboratory	4-157
4.19	Materials, Utilities, and Energy	4-157
4.20	Impacts of the No Action Alternative	4-166
4.20.1	Products and Wastes	4-166
4.20.2	Public and Occupational Health and Safety Impacts	4-166
4.20.2.1	Incident-Free Operations	4-167
4.20.2.2	Accidents	4-168
4.20.2.3	Mitigation Measures	4-170
4.21	Impacts of the Preferred Alternative	4-170
4.21.1	Products and Wastes	4-170
4.21.2	Public and Occupational Health and Safety Impacts	4-171
4.21.2.1	Incident-Free Operations	4-171

	4.21.2.2 Accidents	4-173
	4.21.2.3 Mitigation Measures	4-174
	4.22 Comparison of the Impacts of the Strategic Management Approaches	4-175
	4.22.1 Products and Wastes	4-175
	4.22.2 Public and Occupational Health and Safety Impacts	4-180
	4.22.3 Other Impacts	4-184
	4.23 Range of Impacts at Each Site	4-186
	4.23.1 Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site	4-186
	4.23.1.1 Products and Wastes	4-186
	4.23.1.2 Public and Occupational Health and Safety Impacts	4-186
	4.23.1.2.1 Incident-Free Operations	4-187
	4.23.1.2.2 Accidents	4-188
	4.23.2 Savannah River Site	4-189
	4.23.2.1 Products and Wastes	4-189
	4.23.2.2 Public and Occupational Health and Safety Impacts	4-190
	4.23.2.2.1 Incident-Free Operations	4-190
	4.23.2.2.2 Accidents	4-191
	4.23.3 Los Alamos National Laboratory	4-192
	4.23.3.1 Products and Wastes	4-192
	4.23.3.2 Public and Occupational Health and Safety Impacts	4-192
	4.23.3.2.1 Incident-Free Operations	4-192
	4.23.3.2.2 Accidents	4-193
	4.24 Range of Intersite Transportation Impacts	4-194
	4.25 Key Cumulative Impacts at the Potential Processing Sites and During Intersite Transportation	4-195
	4.25.1 Cumulative Impacts at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site	4-195
	4.25.2 Cumulative Impacts at the Savannah River Site	4-198
	4.25.3 Cumulative Impacts at Los Alamos National Laboratory	4-202
	4.25.4 Cumulative Impacts of Intersite Transportation	4-203
	4.26 Relationship Between Short-Term Uses of the Environment and Long-Term Productivity	4-204
	4.27 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	4-204
	4.28 Industrial Safety	4-204
	4.29 References	4-205
5.	APPLICABLE LAWS, REGULATIONS, AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS	5-1
	5.1 Laws and Other Requirements	5-1
	5.1.1 Federal Environmental Statutes and Regulations	5-1
	5.1.2 Executive Orders	5-6
	5.1.3 DOE Regulations and Orders	5-7
	5.1.4 State Environmental Statutes and Regulations	5-9
	5.1.4.1 The State of Colorado	5-9
	5.1.4.2 The State of South Carolina	5-12
	5.1.4.3 The State of New Mexico	5-12
	5.2 Regulations for Radioactive Material Packaging and Transportation	5-12
	5.2.1 Nuclear Regulatory Commission Packaging Certification	5-12
	5.2.2 Hazardous and Radioactive Materials Transportation Regulations	5-13
	5.3 Emergency Management and Response Laws and Other Requirements	5-14
	5.3.1 Federal Statutes	5-14
	5.3.2 Executive Orders	5-16
	5.3.3 Federal Regulations Concerning Emergency Management	5-16
	5.3.4 Emergency Planning	5-17
6.	LIST OF PREPARERS	6-1
7.	AGENCIES CONSULTED	7-1

8.	GLOSSARY	8-1
9.	OVERVIEW OF THE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS	9-1
9.1	Public Scoping for This EIS	9-1
9.2	Workshops for State and Local Officials Along Potential Transportation Routes	9-3
9.3	Issuance of the Draft EIS	9-4
9.4	Summary of Public Comments on the Draft EIS	9-4
9.4.1	Summary of Written Comments on the Draft EIS	9-4
9.4.2	Environmental Protection Agency Rating of EIS	9-13
9.4.3	Summary of Public Hearings and Comments Received	9-13
9.5	DOE Responses to Public Comments	9-14
9.5.1	Oral Comments at Public Hearings and DOE Responses	9-15
9.5.1.1	Rocky Flats Public Hearing	9-15
9.5.1.2	Los Alamos National Laboratory Public Hearing	9-17
9.5.1.3	Savannah River Site Public Hearing	9-21
9.5.2	Written Comments and DOE Responses	9-25
10.	DISTRIBUTION LIST	10-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2–1	Plutonium Residue and Scrub Alloy Alternatives	2-3
Figure 2–2	Processing Technologies Assessed for Each Plutonium Residue and Scrub Alloy Category	2-9
Figure 2–3	Processing Technologies for Ash Residues	2-11
Figure 2–4	Processing Technologies for Pyrochemical Salt Residues	2-17
Figure 2–5	Processing Technologies for Combustible Residues	2-22
Figure 2–6	Processing Technologies for Plutonium Fluoride Residues	2-26
Figure 2–7	Processing Technologies for Filter Media Residues	2-29
Figure 2–8	Processing Technologies for Sludge Residues	2-31
Figure 2–9	Processing Technologies for Glass Residues	2-34
Figure 2–10	Processing Technologies for Graphite Residues	2-36
Figure 2–11	Processing Technologies for Inorganic Residues	2-38
Figure 2–12	Processing Technologies for Scrub Alloy	2-40
Figure 2–13	Pipe Component	2-49
Figure 2–14	Type 6M and 9975 Transport Containers	2-53
Figure 2–15	TRUPACT II	2-54
Figure 2–16	Safe, Secure Trailer System	2-55
Figure 2–17	Material/Technology/Site Screening Process	2-58
Figure 3–1	Site Designations, Principal Facilities, and Testing Areas at Rocky Flats	3-4
Figure 3–2	Rocky Flats, Colorado, and Region	3-5
Figure 3–3	Generalized Land Use at Rocky Flats and Vicinity	3-7
Figure 3–4	Wind Rose for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (1991–1994) (61-meter level)	3-10
Figure 3–5	Surface Water Features at Rocky Flats	3-14
Figure 3–6	Racial and Ethnic Composition of the Minority Population Residing Within 80 km (50 mi) of Rocky Flats	3-22
Figure 3–7	Distribution of Minority Population Living Within 80 km (50 mi) of Rocky Flats	3-23
Figure 3–8	Distribution of Poverty-level Population Living Within 80 km (50 mi) of Rocky Flats	3-24
Figure 3–9	Savannah River Site, South Carolina, and Region	3-33
Figure 3–10	Wind Rose for the Savannah River Site (1987–1991)	3-37
Figure 3–11	The Savannah River Site, Showing 100-Year Floodplain, Major Stream Systems, and Facilities	3-40
Figure 3–12	Racial and Ethnic Composition of the Minority Population Residing Within 80 km (50 mi) of the Savannah River Site	3-45
Figure 3–13	Distribution of Minority Population Residing Within 80 km (50 mi) of the Savannah River Site	3-46
Figure 3–14	Distribution of Poverty-Level Population Residing Within 80 km (50 mi) of the Savannah River Site	3-47
Figure 3–15	Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico, and Region	3-58
Figure 3–16	Generalized Land Use at Los Alamos National Laboratory and Vicinity	3-60
Figure 3–17	Wind Rose for the Los Alamos National Laboratory (1991) (11.5-meter level)	3-62
Figure 3–18	Surface Water Features Near Los Alamos National Laboratory	3-66
Figure 3–19	Major Fault Systems Near the Los Alamos National Laboratory Region	3-69
Figure 3–20	Racial and Ethnic Composition of the Minority Population Residing Within 80 km (50 mi) of the Los Alamos National Laboratory	3-73
Figure 3–21	Distribution of Minority Population Residing Within 80 km (50 mi) of Los Alamos National Laboratory	3-75
Figure 3–22	Distribution of Low-Income Population Residing Within 80 km (50 mi) of Los Alamos National Laboratory	3-76
Figure 4–1	Stabilized Residues Generated Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-178
Figure 4–2	Transuranic Waste Generated Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-178
Figure 4–3	High-Level Waste Generated Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-179
Figure 4–4	Plutonium Separated Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-179
Figure 4–5	Low-Level Waste Generated Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-180

Figure 4–6	Incident-Free Radiological Risk to the Public Maximally Exposed Individual Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-181
Figure 4–7	Incident-Free Radiological Risk to the Public Population Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-181
Figure 4–8	Incident-Free Radiological Risk to the Maximally Exposed Individual Worker Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-182
Figure 4–9	Incident-Free Radiological Risk to the Worker Population Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-182
Figure 4–10	Accident Risk to the Public Maximally Exposed Individual Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-183
Figure 4–11	Accident Risk to the Public Population Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-183
Figure 4–12	Accident Risk to the Noninvolved Onsite Worker Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-184
Figure 4–13	Intersite Round-Trip Transportation Required Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-185
Figure 4–14	Cost of Each Alternative and Management Approach	4-185
Figure 4–1	Stabilized Residues Generated Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-178
Figure 4–2	Transuranic Waste Generated Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-178
Figure 4–3	High-Level Waste Generated Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-179
Figure 4–4	Plutonium Separated Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-179
Figure 4–5	Low-Level Waste Generated Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-180
Figure 4–6	Incident-Free Radiological Risk to the Public Maximally Exposed Individual Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-181
Figure 4–7	Incident-Free Radiological Risk to the Public Population Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-181
Figure 4–8	Incident-Free Radiological Risk to the Maximally Exposed Individual Worker Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-182
Figure 4–9	Incident-Free Radiological Risk to the Worker Population Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-182
Figure 4–10	Accident Risk to the Public Maximally Exposed Individual Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-183
Figure 4–11	Accident Risk to the Public Population Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-183
Figure 4–12	Accident Risk to the Noninvolved Onsite Worker Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-184
Figure 4–13	Intersite Round-Trip Transportation Required Under Each Strategic Management Approach	4-185
Figure 4–14	Cost of Each Strategic Management Approach	4-185
Figure 5–1	Federal Environmental Statutes and Regulations	5-2
Figure 5–2	Executive Orders	5-6
Figure 5–3	Legal Agreements and Compliance Orders	5-9
Figure 5–4	Federal Statutes Applicable to Emergency Management and Response	5-15
Figure 5–5	Executive Orders Applicable to Emergency Management and Response	5-16
Figure 5–6	Federal Regulations Applicable to Emergency Management and Response	5-16
Figure 9–1	Location of Hearings, Workshops, and Public Reading Rooms	9-2

LIST OF TABLES

	<i>Page</i>	
Table 1–1	Plutonium Residues (by Category) and Scrub Alloy Inventory Covered under this EIS	1-2
Table 2–1	Comparison of Plutonium Residue and Scrub Alloy Material Categories	2-7
Table 2–2	Stabilization Technology Used in No Action—Stabilize and Store for Each Material Category	2-43
Table 2–3	Preferred Processing Technology and Site for Each Material Category	2-44
Table 2–4	Selected Management Approaches for Processing Rocky Flats Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy	2-46
Table 2–5	Summary of Selected WIPP Waste Acceptance Criteria	2-50
Table 2–6	Possible Shipments of Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy for Processing with Plutonium Separation	2-51
Table 2–7	Processing with Plutonium Separation: Technology/Site Combinations Not Analyzed ^a	2-60
Table 2–8	Impacts of Managing Incinerator Ash Residues	2-67
Table 2–9	Impacts of Managing Sand, Slag, and Crucible Residues	2-68
Table 2–10	Impacts of Managing Graphite Fines Ash Residues	2-69
Table 2–11	Impacts of Managing Inorganic Ash Residues	2-70
Table 2–12	Impacts of Managing Electrorefining and Molten Salt Extraction Salt Residues (IDC 409)	2-71
Table 2–13	Impacts of Managing Electrorefining and Molten Salt Extraction Salt Residues (Except IDC 409)	2-72
Table 2–14	Impacts of Managing Direct Oxide Reduction Salt Residues (IDCs 365, 413, 417, and 427)	2-73
Table 2–15	Impacts of Managing Direct Oxide Reduction Salt Residues (Except IDCs 365, 413, 417, and 427)	2-74
Table 2–16	Impacts of Managing Combustible Residues	2-75
Table 2–17	Impacts of Managing Plutonium Fluoride Residues	2-76
Table 2–18	Impacts of Managing Ful Flo Filter Residues (IDC 331)	2-77
Table 2–19	Impacts of Managing High-Efficiency Particulate Air Filter Residues (IDC 338)	2-78
Table 2–20	Impacts of Managing High-Efficiency Particulate Air Filter Residues (Except IDC 338)	2-79
Table 2–21	Impacts of Managing Sludge Residues (IDCs 089, 099, and 332)	2-80
Table 2–22	Impacts of Managing Sludge Residues (Except IDCs 089, 099, and 332)	2-81
Table 2–23	Impacts of Managing Glass Residues	2-82
Table 2–24	Impacts of Managing Graphite Residues	2-83
Table 2–25	Impacts of Managing Inorganic Residues	2-84
Table 2–26	Impacts of Managing Scrub Alloy	2-85
Table 2–27	Impacts of the Alternatives and Management Approaches	2-86
Table 2–28	Rocky Flats Cumulative Radiological Impacts	2-89
Table 2–29	Cumulative Air Quality Impacts at Rocky Flats	2-90
Table 2–30	Savannah River Site Cumulative Radiological Impacts	2-91
Table 2–31	Cumulative Air Quality Impacts at the Savannah River Site	2-92
Table 2–32	Los Alamos National Laboratory Cumulative Radiological Impacts	2-93
Table 3–1	Current Missions at Rocky Flats	3-3
Table 3–2	Rocky Flats Baseline Characteristics	3-8
Table 3–3	Rocky Mountain Area Subregional Power Pool Electrical Summary	3-8
Table 3–4	Emission Rates of Criteria and Toxic/Hazardous Air Pollutants at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site	3-11
Table 3–5	Comparison of the Rocky Flats Contribution to Baseline Air Pollutant Concentrations with Most Stringent Applicable Regulations and Guidelines at Rocky Flats, 1994	3-13
Table 3–6	Federal- and State-Listed Threatened, Endangered, and Other Special Status Species that may be Found at or in the Vicinity of Rocky Flats	3-19
Table 3–7	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity, Unrelated to Rocky Flats Operations	3-26
Table 3–8	Radiation Doses to the Public from Incident-Free Rocky Flats Operations in 1994 (Committed	

Table 3–9	Effective Dose Equivalent)	3-26
	Radiation Doses to Workers from Incident-Free Rocky Flats Operations in 1996 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent)	3-26
Table 3–10	Waste Management Activities at Rocky Flats	3-29
Table 3–11	Current Missions at the Savannah River Site	3-34
Table 3–12	Savannah River Site Baseline Characteristics	3-35
Table 3–13	Virginia–Carolina Subregional Power Pool Electrical Summary	3-36
Table 3–14	Comparison of Savannah River Site's Contribution to the Baseline Air Pollutant Concentrations with Most Stringent Applicable Regulations and Guidelines at Savannah River Site, 1994	3-39
Table 3–15	Federal or South Carolina Endangered or Threatened Plants and Animals Known to Occur on the Savannah River Site	3-43
Table 3–16	Savannah River Site Traffic Counts—Major Roads	3-48
Table 3–17	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity, Unrelated to Savannah River Site Operations	3-49
Table 3–18	Radiation Doses to the Public from Normal Savannah River Site Operations in 1995 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent)	3-50
Table 3–19	Radiation Doses to Workers from Normal Savannah River Site Operations in 1996 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent)	3-50
Table 3–20	Waste Management Activities at Savannah River Site	3-52
Table 3–22	Current Major Missions at Los Alamos National Laboratory	3-57
Table 3–23	Los Alamos National Laboratory Baseline Characteristics	3-59
Table 3–24	Arizona–New Mexico Subregional Power Pool Electrical Summary	3-61
Table 3–25	Emission Rates of Criteria and Toxic/Hazardous Air Pollutants at Los Alamos National Laboratory ^a	3-63
Table 3–26	Comparison of Baseline Air Pollutant Concentrations with Most Stringent Applicable Regulations and Guidelines at Los Alamos National Laboratory, 1990 and 1992	3-64
Table 3–27	Summary of Major Faults at Los Alamos National Laboratory	3-70
Table 3–28	Federal- and State-Listed Threatened, Endangered, and Other Special Status Species That May Be Found at or in the Vicinity of Los Alamos National Laboratory	3-72
Table 3–29	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity, Unrelated to Los Alamos National Laboratory Operations	3-77
Table 3–30	Doses to the General Public from Normal Operations at Los Alamos National Laboratory, 1995 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent)	3-77
Table 3–31	Annual Doses to Onsite Workers from Normal Operations at Los Alamos National Laboratory, Period 1991–1995	3-77
Table 3–32	Waste Management Activities at Los Alamos National Laboratory	3-80
Table 4–1	Risk of Latent Cancer Fatalities and Other Health Effects from Exposure to One Rem of Radiation ^a	4-6
Table 4–2	Typical Sources of Radiation, Average Individual Exposures, and Average Individual Risks	4-10
Table 4–3	Risks Estimated To Increase Chance of Death in any Year by One Chance in a Million	4-11
Table 4–4	Ash Residues	4-14
Table 4–5	Products and Wastes from Ash Residues	4-16
Table 4–6	Radiological Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Ash Residues	4-20
Table 4–7	Chemical Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Ash Residues	4-24
Table 4–8	Accident Frequencies, Process Durations, and Consequences for Accidents with Ash Residues	4-27
Table 4–9	Risks Due to Accidents with Ash Residues	4-30
Table 4–10	Pyrochemical Salt Residues	4-35
Table 4–11	Products and Wastes from Pyrochemical Salt Residues	4-37
Table 4–12	Radiological Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Pyrochemical Salt Residues	4-41
Table 4–13	Chemical Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Pyrochemical Salt Residues	4-45
Table 4–14	Accident Frequencies, Process Durations, and Consequences for Accidents with Pyrochemical Salt Residues	4-48
Table 4–15	Risks Due to Accidents with Pyrochemical Salt Residues	4-51

Table 4–16	Products and Wastes from Combustible Residues	4-57
Table 4–17	Radiological Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Combustible Residues	4-59
Table 4–18	Chemical Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Combustible Residues	4-61
Table 4–19	Accident Frequencies, Process Durations, and Consequences for Accidents with Combustible Residues	4-62
Table 4–20	Risks Due to Accidents with Combustible Residues	4-64
Table 4–21	Products and Wastes from Plutonium Fluoride Residues	4-66
Table 4–22	Radiological Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Plutonium Fluoride Residues	4-68
Table 4–23	Chemical Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Plutonium Fluoride Residues	4-68
Table 4–24	Accident Frequencies, Process Durations, and Consequences for Accidents with Plutonium Fluoride Residues	4-70
Table 4–25	Risks Due to Accidents with Plutonium Fluoride Residues	4-71
Table 4–26	Filter Media Residues	4-72
Table 4–27	Products and Wastes from Filter Media Residues	4-74
Table 4–28	Radiological Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Filter Media Residues	4-76
Table 4–29	Chemical Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Filter Media Residues	4-78
Table 4–30	Accident Frequencies, Process Durations, and Consequences for Accidents with Filter Media Residues	4-80
Table 4–31	Risks Due to Accidents with Filter Media Residues	4-82
Table 4–32	Products and Wastes from Sludge Residues	4-86
Table 4–33	Radiological Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Sludge Residues	4-87
Table 4–34	Accident Frequencies, Process Durations, and Consequences for Accidents with Sludge Residues	4-89
Table 4–35	Risks Due to Accidents with Sludge Residues	4-90
Table 4–36	Products and Wastes from Glass Residues	4-92
Table 4–37	Radiological Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Glass Residues	4-94
Table 4–38	Accident Frequencies, Process Durations, and Consequences for Accidents with Glass Residues	4-95
Table 4–39	Risks Due to Accidents with Glass Residues	4-97
Table 4–40	Products and Wastes from Graphite Residues	4-99
Table 4–41	Radiological Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Graphite Residues	4-101
Table 4–42	Chemical Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Graphite Residues	4-103
Table 4–43	Accident Frequencies, Process Durations, and Consequences for Accidents with Graphite Residues	4-104
Table 4–44	Risks Due to Accidents with Graphite Residues	4-106
Table 4–45	Products and Wastes from Inorganic Residues	4-108
Table 4–46	Radiological Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Inorganic Residues	4-109
Table 4–47	Chemical Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Inorganic Residues	4-111
Table 4–48	Accident Frequencies, Process Durations, and Consequences for Accidents with Inorganic Residues	4-112
Table 4–49	Risks Due to Accidents with Inorganic Residues	4-114
Table 4–50	Products and Wastes from Scrub Alloy	4-116
Table 4–51	Radiological Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Scrub Alloy	4-118
Table 4–52	Chemical Impacts Due to Incident-Free Management of Scrub Alloy	4-119
Table 4–53	Accident Frequencies, Process Durations, and Consequences for Accidents with Scrub Alloy	4-121
Table 4–54	Risks Due to Accidents with Scrub Alloy	4-122
Table 4–55	Air Quality Impacts from Process Emissions at Rocky Flats	4-124
Table 4–56	Air Quality Impacts from Process Emissions at Savannah River Site	4-125
Table 4–57	Process Effluents at Rocky Flats	4-128
Table 4–58	Process Effluents at the Savannah River Site	4-129
Table 4–59	Process Effluents at Los Alamos National Laboratory	4-130
Table 4–60	Frequencies and Consequences of Accidents During Storage	4-133
Table 4–61	Risks of Accidents During Storage	4-134
Table 4–62	Individually Allocable Costs of the Minimum Cost Processing Technologies ^a	4-139

Table 4-63	Costs of the Preferred Processing Technologies	4-142
Table 4-64	Costs of the Minimum Duration Management Approach	4-144
Table 4-65	Technical Uncertainties for Major Categories of Processing Technologies	4-145
Table 4-66	Estimated Spending at Rocky Flats by Activity for Each Processing Technology (excluding common facilities, technology development, and shared equipment)	4-148
Table 4-67	Estimated Spending at Rocky Flats for the Strategic Management Approaches	4-156
Table 4-68	Materials, Utilities, and Energy	4-158
Table 4-69	Products and Wastes from the No Action Alternative	4-166
Table 4-70	Radiological Impacts Due to Incident-Free Implementation of the No Action Alternative	4-167
Table 4-71	Chemical Impacts Due to Incident-Free Implementation of the No Action Alternative	4-168
Table 4-72	Maximum Accident Consequences in the No Action Alternative	4-169
Table 4-73	Risks Due to Accidents in the No Action Alternative	4-170
Table 4-74	Products and Wastes from the Preferred Alternative	4-171
Table 4-75	Radiological Impacts Due to Incident-Free Implementation of the Preferred Alternative	4-172
Table 4-76	Chemical Impacts Due to Incident-Free Implementation of the Preferred Alternative	4-173
Table 4-77	Maximum Accident Consequences in the Preferred Alternative	4-174
Table 4-78	Risks Due to Accidents in the Preferred Alternative	4-174
Table 4-79	Impacts of the Strategic Management Approaches	4-176
Table 4-80	Range of Products and Wastes at Rocky Flats	4-186
Table 4-81	Range of Radiological Impacts Due to Incident-Free Operations at Rocky Flats	4-187
Table 4-82	Range of Chemical Impacts at Rocky Flats	4-188
Table 4-83	Range of Radiological Impacts ^a Due to Accidents at Rocky Flats	4-188
Table 4-84	Range of Products and Wastes at the Savannah River Site	4-189
Table 4-85	Range of Radiological Impacts Due to Incident-Free Operations at the Savannah River Site .	4-190
Table 4-86	Range of Chemical Impacts at the Savannah River Site	4-191
Table 4-87	Range of Radiological Impacts Due to Accidents at the Savannah River Site	4-191
Table 4-88	Range of Products and Wastes at Los Alamos National Laboratory	4-192
Table 4-89	Range of Radiological Impacts Due to Incident-Free Operations at Los Alamos National Laboratory	4-192
Table 4-90	Range of Radiological Impacts Due to Accidents at Los Alamos National Laboratory	4-193
Table 4-91	Range of Offsite Radiological Impacts Due to Incident-Free Offsite Transportation	4-194
Table 4-92	Range of Risks Due to Transportation Accidents	4-194
Table 4-93	Rocky Flats Cumulative Radiological Impacts	4-196
Table 4-94	Cumulative Air Quality Impacts at Rocky Flats	4-197
Table 4-95	Savannah River Site Cumulative Radiological Impacts	4-199
Table 4-96	Estimated Maximum Radiological Doses and Resulting Health Effects to Offsite Population and Workers Due to Other Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions at the Savannah River Site	4-200
Table 4-97	Cumulative Air Quality Impacts at the Savannah River Site	4-201
Table 4-98	Los Alamos National Laboratory Cumulative Radiological Impacts	4-202
Table 4-99	Estimated Maximum Radiological Doses and Resulting Health Effects to Offsite Population and Workers Due to Other Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions at the Los Alamos National Laboratory	4-203
Table 4-100	Average Occupational Injury/Illness and Fatality Rates (per worker-year)	4-204
Table 4-101	Industrial Safety Impacts from Processing Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy	4-204
Table 5-1	Relevant DOE Orders, Notices, and Standards (as of February 4, 1997)	5-7