

BRADFORD, KRISTA
PAGE 1 OF 2

IF NOT NOW...

140 ARBORWAY, STE. G, BOSTON, MA 02130-3522 USA
(617) 524-1342 • fax (617) 524-1347 • contact@ifnotnow.com

To: DOE, Fax 18008205156
From: If Not Now: A Citizens Lobbying Tool, EMail rep-info@ifnotnow.com
Date: Sep 16, 1998 7:04 GMT
Subject: Plutonium Disposal By Burning In Nuclear Reactors

If Not Now is a web-based citizen's lobbying tool. We are forwarding to you a letter from some of your constituents. At the end of this message there is a description of how our service works and how you can respond to your constituents.

Signatures as of Sep 16, 1998:
There were 2 new signers. Total signers to date: 4.

TOPIC: Plutonium Disposal By Burning In Nuclear Reactors

Dear DOE (Fissile Materials Program),

I am writing to oppose the current Department of Energy plan for plutonium disposition, which is based on mixed-oxide (MOX) fuel. MOX fuel is a bad idea. It is unproven technology as far as commercial reactors in the U.S. are concerned. MOX techniques for plutonium disposal are also slower and more expensive than immobilization techniques. In addition, the treatment of plutonium as an energy source sets a dangerous precedent for nuclear proliferation and the development of plutonium fuel economies. It is essential that the DOE do everything possible to discourage this proliferation.

New signers and comments:

Krista Bradford, New York, NY 10033
Danielle Benzinger, Arlington, TX 76006

DESCRIPTION OF IF NOT NOW SERVICE

Subscribers use If Not Now (www.ifnotnow.com) to get information about political and social issues of concern to them. The service also enables them to sign letters about these topics, which we then forward in consolidated form to officials such as yourself. It is important to emphasize that our subscriber list is authenticated through credit card verification, and only those signers who belong to your specific constituency are included in the signature list that you receive.

1

FD312

FD312-1

MOX Approach

DOE acknowledges the commentor's opposition to the MOX approach to surplus plutonium disposition. While it is true MOX fuel has not been produced commercially in the U.S., it has been produced in Western Europe. MOX fuel fabrication is not a new technology. This experience would be used for disposition of the U.S. surplus plutonium. Pursuing both immobilization and MOX fuel fabrication provides the United States important insurance against potential disadvantages of implementing either approach by itself. The hybrid approach also provides the best opportunity for U.S. leadership in working with Russia to implement similar options for reducing Russia's excess plutonium in parallel. Further, it sends the strongest possible signal to the world of U.S. determination to reduce stockpiles of surplus plutonium as quickly as possible and in a manner that would make it technically difficult to use the plutonium in nuclear weapons again.

Any difference between the cost of the hybrid approach and that of the immobilization-only approach would be marginal. Although cost will be a factor in the decisionmaking process, this SPD EIS contains environmental impact data and does not address the costs associated with the various alternatives. A separate cost report, *Cost Analysis in Support of Site Selection for Surplus Weapons-Usable Plutonium Disposition* (DOE/MD-0009, July 1998), which analyzes the site-specific cost estimates for each alternative, was made available around the same time as the SPD Draft EIS. This report and the *Plutonium Disposition Life-Cycle Costs and Cost-Related Comment Resolution Document* (DOE/MD-0013, November 1999), which covers recent life-cycle cost analyses associated with the preferred alternative, are available on the MD Web site at <http://www.doe-md.com> and in the public reading rooms at the following locations: Hanford, INEEL, Pantex, SRS, and Washington, D.C.

3-357

Comment Documents and Responses—New York



140 ARBORWAY, STE. 6, BOSTON, MA 02130-3522 USA
(617) 524-1342 • fax (617) 524-1347 • contact@ifnotnow.com

An important feature of If Not Now is that we follow up on every action letter that we send, and we report how representatives, officials and others have acted on the issue. We also provide you with the opportunity to respond to your constituents (via a password-protected web server, to ensure that only legitimate responses are posted). Follow the directions below. Your letter will be posted without editing; your constituents will be able to view your response when they check the results of that action. (We regret that we cannot process responses received via fax or US mail.) We strongly encourage you to send us a response! Our subscribers are active, involved citizens who want to hear from you.

To respond to an action letter: fill out the form at <http://www.ifnotnow.com/respond.html> -- you will need to use your special key: PeeTJlwV. This key is valid for one-time use only. Please send questions or comments via email to: rep-info@ifnotnow.com.

FD312