Please add to my oral comments:

1 The subsidy of production of nuclear waste will tend to perpetuate itself. As government and industry planners get used to cheap disposal of nuclear waste, they will design into their future plans production of large amounts of nuclear waste.. The prediction of 70,000 truckloads of waste is thus only the narrow end of a wedge. An adequate EIS would consider this and would anticipate far greater amounts of nuclear waste needing to be dealt with.

Fred Miller
6057 3rd ave NW
Seattle WA 98107

REVISED DRAFT HANFORD SOLID WASTE EIS
PUBLIC MEETING
FORMAL WRITTEN COMMENT

5-13-03

1 the Hanford mess is so horrific and inexcusable to begin with — that added to that the USDOE's horrific and inexcusable behavior in pretending to clean-up Hanford — it is nothing short of Domestic Terrorism!

2 You are not fooling us Mr. Collins. You need to act like a responsible and caring human being, a moral human being and do your job with "Integrity!"

3 • No dumping of Plutonium Wastes in unlined trenches for God's sake!

4 • No transportation of Plutonium wastes through my city! You haven't even bothered to do an EIS on that madness.

Are you a human being? !!! I mean really!

Written comments may be submitted to:

Michael Collins
NEPA Document Manager
U.S. Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office
P. O. Box 550 MSIN A6-38
Richland, WA 99352
Fax: 509-372-1926
e-mail: hsweis@rl.gov
http://www.hanford.gov/eis/eis-0286D2/

Name Laura Feldman
(Please Print)

Address 817 SE 29th Ave.
Portland, OR
97214

Public Comment Period Ends May 27, 2003

REVISED DRAFT HANFORD SOLID WASTE EIS
PUBLIC MEETING
FORMAL WRITTEN COMMENT

1 45 days to review a 3000 page document – c'mon

2 one of the big problems between DOE and the public is lack of trust – one might think the need for haste is driven by politics – not science.

3 also, with 70 000 truckloads of transuranic waste (some requiring remote manipulation) terrorists would have an ideal source of material for a "dirty bomb" – what

4 protection would truck drivers have from a terrorist hijacking?

I won't reiterate the other concerns voiced at the meeting at Hood River but concur most of them

Written comments may be submitted to:

Michael Collins
NEPA Document Manager
U.S. Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office
P. O. Box 550 MSIN A6-38
Richland, WA 99352
Fax: 509-372-1926
e-mail: hsweis@rl.gov
http://www.hanford.gov/eis/eis-0286D2/

Name Edward M. McFinch (Please Print) Address 1820 NW Edgehill St Camas, WA 98607

Public Comment Period Ends May 27, 2003

REVISED DRAFT HANFORD SOLID WASTE EIS
PUBLIC MEETING
FORMAL WRITTEN COMMENT

I am writing my comments as a concerned citizen of the Columbia River Gorge.

1 • The lack of effort by the DOE to involve public interest is a joke. 45 days to review a 3000 page report? Even the experts have requested a 30-day extension - I request that DOE extend the deadline for comment an additional 30-days.

2 • I oppose the shippment of more waste to the Hanford site. I oppose shippment through the Gorge. The existing waste must be cleaned up!

3 • I support the need for an analysis of cumulative risk of all existing waste, not just selected risks

4 • I call for an EIS study assess and discloses the short + long-term impacts to ground water directly under the waste site

5 • I call for an EIS study that assess and discloses long-term impacts from hazardous waste disposal

Written comments may be submitted to:

Michael Collins
NEPA Document Manager
U.S. Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office
P. O. Box 550 MSIN A6-38
Richland, WA 99352
Fax: 509-372-1926
e-mail: hsweis@rl.gov
http://www.hanford.gov/eis/eis-0286D2/

Faxed 5/22/03

Name Joan Thomson
(Please Print)

Address 312 Lakeview Rd.
White Salmon, WA
98672

Public Comment Period Ends May 27, 2003

REVISED DRAFT HANFORD SOLID WASTE EIS
PUBLIC MEETING
FORMAL WRITTEN COMMENT

1 Comment: Storing additional waste at
Hanford is unacceptable. This arguing
2 already the number 1 waste/contamination
site in the country. Adding more waste
would go against prior agreements and
public opinion. The priority must be
3 to clean up what is there especially
the groundwater.

Written comments may be submitted to:

Michael Collins
NEPA Document Manager
U.S. Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office
P. O. Box 550 MSIN A6-38
Richland, WA 99352
Fax: 509-372-1926
e-mail: hsweis@rl.gov
http://www.hanford.gov/eis/eis-0286D2/

Name DAVID M. BRAUN
(Please Print)

Address 1193 22nd St.
HOOD RIVER, OR
97031

Public Comment Period Ends May 27, 2003

REVISED DRAFT HANFORD SOLID WASTE EIS
PUBLIC MEETING
FORMAL WRITTEN COMMENT

1 It is absolutely NOT ACCEPTABLE to endanger the future Columbia River. This means no contaminated ground water can ever enter the river. To achieve this goal the already contaminated ground water must be cleaned + no more waste must enter the ground water - ever; all the waste must be cleaned. This is the cost of nuclear weapons + nuclear energy. The US Gov't can not shrink
2 from these real costs and try to skirt around the problem because our society, our river will be paying those costs for thousands + thousands of years.
3 We only have one chance to get this right and we have to get it right, not quick, not cheap, but right.
4 To that end, dumping waste in unlined
5 trenches is unacceptable; re classifying waste and calling that progress is not OK; rushing
6 the EIS process to avoid public scrutiny is not OK and is a sign of your bad faith

Written comments may be submitted to:

Michael Collins
NEPA Document Manager
U.S. Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office
P. O. Box 550 MSIN A6-38
Richland, WA 99352
Fax: 509-372-1926
e-mail: hsweis@rl.gov
http://www.hanford.gov/eis/eis-0286D2/

Name Rebecca Nelson (Please Print)

Address 1183 22 St
Hood River OR 97031

Public Comment Period Ends May 27, 2003

REVISED DRAFT HANFORD SOLID WASTE EIS
PUBLIC MEETING
FORMAL WRITTEN COMMENT

In the revised draft I would like to see a comparison study of the solid waste facility in New Mexico and that of Hanford's. I am interested in knowing if the standards of safety are the same. If they are not, and if they show Hanford as being below those safety standards then I would like to see in writing the current steps that are being taken. In connection to this clean up report, I would like to have a contract in the draft that promises and abides to bi-annual reports of the clean-up procedures that have occurred.

As for as the choice to terminate the Vitification of holding tanks -- I want to see in the draft where that money is going and a study of the effects for not lining the dump sites according to the highest standards of safety that we in and around the Hanford facility deserve and demand!

Written comments may be submitted to:

Michael Collins
NEPA Document Manager
U.S. Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office
P. O. Box 550 MSIN A6-38
Richland, WA 99352
Fax: 509-372-1926
e-mail: hsweis@rl.gov
http://www.hanford.gov/eis/eis-0286D2/

Name Victoria Potts
(Please Print)

Address 4103 Arrowhead Rd
Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815

Public Comment Period Ends May 27, 2003

REVISED DRAFT HANFORD SOLID WASTE EIS
PUBLIC MEETING
FORMAL WRITTEN COMMENT

1 It is important for civil servants to remember they work for we the people, we the taxpayers and not an illegitimate regime representing their selfish corporate interests. You are paid for and work for us!

2 We do not want any more waste brought into Hanford from anywhere.

3 We do not want waste already there to be reclassified.

4 We do want Hanford and all other like areas in our country to be cleaned up to non-toxic (clean) levels in our lifetimes so we and our children and all the children, of all species, won't be afflicted with this kind of death.

Refocus now on the real solutions and the real work, the real goals.

Written comments may be submitted to:

Michael Collins
NEPA Document Manager
U.S. Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office
P. O. Box 550 MSIN A6-38
Richland, WA 99352
Fax: 509-372-1926
e-mail: hsweis@rl.gov
http://www.hanford.gov/eis/eis-0286D2/

Name Keith Harding
(Please Print)

Address 5947 Miller Rd.
Mount Hood, Oregon
97041

Public Comment Period Ends May 27, 2003