P-0060

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

1 I have no objection per se to additional radioactive waste being at Hanford given what is already there. However, that said, based on the past record of DOE at Hanford I do have concerns about how additional wastes will be handled. What assurances can you provide the public that storing additional wastes will not add to the many problems that have already reluctantly been made public? Unfortunately DOE's past record at Hanford does not build public trust.

Paul Robisch
11712 36th Avenue NE
Seattle, Washington 98125

Signature Paul G. Robisch

Print name

Address

P-0061

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

1 PLEASE NO MORE RADIOACTIVE WASTE TO OUR STATE. THE COLUMBIA RIVER IS IN JEPORDY. WATER QUALITY. AN ACCIDENT WAITING TO HAPPEN. PLEASE NO MORE CONTAMINATED WASTE TO OUR STATE.

Signature
RON ADAMS
Print name

Address 303 23RD AVE SOUTH #502
SEATTLE, WA. 98144

P-0062

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

1 the new draft of the EIS still fails to address adequately concerns of the citizenry of WA. Burying more waste from offsite adds an unnecessary burden to Hanford
2 Clean-up. Dumping more radioactive waste at Hanford increases the risk to our health from contaminated ground-water, which is allowed to enter the Columbia River.
3 Shipping 70,000 truckloads of radioactive and chemical waste increases risks to citizens along Washington and Oregon highways. Hanford is already the nation's most contaminated site. thank you for your concern.

Sammy McLean
Signature

SAMMY McLEAN
Print name

Address 8220 23RD NE
SEATTLE, WA 98115

P-0063

Dear Mr. Collins, 5-5-03
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

Please take the time to read the 3000 pages of comments regarding the detrimental effects
1 of continuing with the Hanford waste dump. The risks are high, the danger is long-term, and the contamination is extensive.
2 There are other places to store nuclear waste, such as the underground nuclear bomb test site in Nevada, which is already saturated with nuclear waste and radioactivity. Seek alternative uses for spent nuclear waste. Fund creative uses of weaker radioactive material

Dean Petrich
Signature

DEAN PETRICH
Print name

Address Micah, Lynda and Dean Petrich
2131 E. MIDDLE DRIVE
FREELAND, WA 98249-9516
(360) 730-7992

P-0064

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

1 I am very concerned about adding radioactive waste to the Hanford site when clean-up is supposed to be underway.
Please do not add to the clean up problems at Hanford. This will end up costing all of us more time and money in the future.
The Hanford area is not appropriate for a long-term storage site.
Thank you for your attention and response.

Signature

Walter N. Croshaw
Print name

3017 N. 10th st.
Address Tacoma, WA 98406

P-0065

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

1 I would like to register my resistance to DOE plans to ship transuranic waste to Hanford for storage. Hanford is already the nation's most contaminated site -- it hardly needs more. It occurs to me that the push to increase Hanford's usage as a dump site is related to the increased pressure from the Bush admin. to build more power plants. Got to put the radioactive waste somewhere. Why not just stop making it?

Signature

David Thornbrugh
Print name

David Thornbrugh
2353 North 64th St.
Seattle, WA 98103

P-0066

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

1 I think Hanford is the best place to store radioactive waste in the area.

It is sure better to keep it in one area than to have the waste in every state.

Signature Dean E Christensen

Print name

Address: Dean E. Christensen
20663 6th Ave. SW
Seattle, WA 98166-4156

P-0067

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

1 Enough is enough !!!
No more no more Radioactive Waste in Hanford.

Help save our Columbia River !!

Be gentle with our earth !!

Signature Vernon D Mock
Vernon D Mock
Barbara A. Mock

Print name Barbara A. MOCK

Address 24100 W. Baseline
Hillsboro Ore 97123

P-0068

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

About 30 years ago the League of Women Voters Washington published a small book about nuclear waste storage at Hanford. THE SAFEST AND BEST WAS IN glass - I've lost the book & do not remember the term. Now the barrels are leaking - adequate STORAGE costs
1 money and Hanford is still leaking nuclear waste - No more should be allowed to be stored there. In fact Nuclear power should be discontinued! thank you. I'm 78 yrs old - getting tired of action.

Laura M Emerson
Signature

Address

Laura M. Emerson
Print name

Ms. Laura M. Emersón
219-2
5555 E Evergreen Blvd
Vancouver WA 98661-6607

P-0069

This postcard was submitted blank.

P-0070

Dear Mr. Collins, MAY 6 2003

I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.

Please send me a response to my comment.

1 We are trying to Clean up the Hanford site - or at least keep it from getting worse. Why would we ever want to add more waste from outside the site? Is it possible to clean up the water that already reaches the Columbia River?

2 We should concentrate on preventing the contaminated water from reaching the river. We should not even waste our time and money and security and health on adding more waste to the present mess!

Signature Sincerely, a downwinder, from Roxy Giddings

Print name Roxy Giddings

Address 12211 C St S
Tacoma WA
98444-5118

P-0071

Dear Mr. Collins,

I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.

Please send me a response to my comment.

1 I am strongly opposed to the transporting and storage of more hazardous waste at Hanford. Any chance of contamination of the Columbia River is totally unacceptable as it is one of our nation's most invaluable treasures.

Signature Barbara J Marquam

Print name Barbara J Marquam

Address 4820 SW Barbur #57
Portland
OR 97239

P-0072

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

1 You must commit yourself and resources to actually cleaning up Hanford.
It's a national disaster waiting to happen (has been happening silently). Could happen irrevocably. Please — for the sake of your loved ones — do the job!

2 Moving it around the country dangerously isn't going to be acceptable.

Signature Laura Feldman
Print name Laura Feldman
Address 817 SE 29th Ave.
Portland, OR 97214

P-0073

8. Huế - Di sản văn hóa thế giới
Lăng Khải Định (xây 1920 - 1931)
Mausoléum of Khai Dinh (built 1920 - 1931)
Mausolée de Khai Dinh (Construite 1920 - 1931)
啓定皇陵(1920 - 1931)
Photo : Hoàng Đức Thự

21:54 05/13/03 PASCO WA

Mr. Collins,

1 The public was led to believe that the USDOE agreed to follow the Tri State agreement and "Clean up" Hanford. Now you are accepting ~~radioactive waste from Elsewhere! How is this a clean up~~

To Michael Collins
UDOE
P.O. Box 550 A6-38
Richland
WA. 99352

Karen Farnsworth
3944 SW 97th St Sea 98136

P-0074

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

1 It is long past time for the Department of Energy to begin to take seriously the concerns of citizens who object to the importing & burying of radioactive + chemical waste at Hanford.

2 More radioactive waste buried at Hanford will simply add to the nation's most contaminated radioactive waste site which is totally unacceptable. We no longer want the risks of contaminated groundwater or from hauling truckloads of radioactive waste on Washington highways.

Howard A. Pellett
Signature

HOWARD A. PELLETT
Print name

Address Howard A. Pellett
5293 Guemes Island Rd.
Anacortes, WA 98221-9791

P-0075

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

1 Please stop adding more radioactive + chemical
2 waste to Hanford. End radioactive waste disposal in unlined soil ditches.

3 Save the Columbia River and the future of the Hanford Reach.

Protect the public health!

4 Keep radioactive waste off our roads!

Rita Gill
Signature

RITA GILL
Print name

Address 4700 NE 40th St.
SEATTLE WA 98105

P-0076

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

The waterways in the State of Washington have already suffered enough contamination from the radioactive dumping at Hanford. We already know some of the existing containers of waste are polluting the ground & waterways underground. It is imperative that no more waste be dumped at Hanford. The Columbia River is at risk! 1

The State of Washington is the last place that should have been selected for this kind of pollution. A new location such as dry desert with no waterways should be considered and made the dumping site. How about somewhere in Texas? 2

Signature Julie A. Elftmann

Print name JULIE A. ELFTMANN

Address 17906 Cambridge Dr.
Arlington, Wa. 98223

P-0077

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

70,000 Truckloads of nuclear waste should not be transported to Hanford. The danger from leakage is already extreme. What is there already needs to be cleaned up. The serious problem that currently exists will only be exacerbated by additional waste. Contamination of the Columbia River is much too great a risk to take 1

Signature Patricia Sims

Print name PATRICIA SIMS

Address 13617 NE Grant St
Portland, OR 97233

P-0078

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

1 The revised draft of the Hanford Solid Waste Environmental Impact Statement fails to adequately address citizens' concerns. Hanford is already the nation's most contaminated site; burying more makes it worse.
2 It allows contamination to enter the Columbia River for the next 150 years; I live downstream. The risk from shipping
3 truckloads of hazardous waste on the highways is unacceptable.

Signature David Tumy
Print name

Address 811 Wendy Court
West Linn, OR 97068

P-0079

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

Hello, When are you going to move Your Family Your Children, their Cousins, your Parents / into this Waste area to Live?
1 If you say Never it's too dangerous - the side effects are too Serious to expose My Loved ones to that mess, that drinking Water, that polluted Soil —
Then take ACTION ! Because YOU CAN! Help the Northwest Quality of Life Thank you

Signature Barbara Iafrate
Print name

Barbara A. Iafrate
17438 NE 40th Pl. # D1
Redmond, WA 98052

P-0080

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

Let us keep our State beautiful

1 Please send NO more refuse + dangerous item to Washington State

no Nuclear waste

the most pristine state around

thanks for fighting for us!

Signature Felicity Erdmann Address 7357 34th NE Seattle WA 98115

Print name Peter + Felicity Erdmann

P-0081

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

1 Hanford is already the nation's most contaminated nuclear waste deposit site. PLEASE, NO MORE!!
Radioactice contaminants are threatening the ground water as well as the river.
PLEASE, NO MORE WASTE!
Washington State is earth quake prone —
2 PLEASE, NO ADDITIONAL RADIOACTIVE AND CHEMICAL WASTE DEPOSITS. CLEAN UP THE EXISTING MESS!!

Signature Ursula B. Andrews Address 5930 - 261st St NW Stanwood, WA. 98292

Ursula B. Andrews

Print name

P-0082

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

1 Hanford in Washington state needs no more dumping of radioactive waste. Its damaging the health of the mighty Columbia river and the ground water. It is not tolerated for any product to cause a health risk to every citizen in 2 states (in Oregon & Washington) via air, water or land contamination. It's got to stop! That also
2 means no 70 thousand truckloads being trucked through Oregon & Washington. We don't want it & can't handle the risk.

Signature
Barbara Pereira

Address 1213 SE Umatilla
Portland, OR 97202

Print name
Barbara Pereira

P-0083

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

1 The plan to ship 70,000 truckloads of radioactive waste to Hanford defies understanding. It would destroy a precious treasure, the Columbia River and its basin. It would create a vast area of contaminated ground water and soils — rendered unfit for use by man and creatures for all time.

Marie Greubel
Signature

Address 315 W. 15 St
Port Angeles Wa.
98362

Marie Greubel
Print name

P-0084

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

1 Please do not allow contaminated groundwater to enter the Columbia River. Do not allow radioactive
2 nor chemical waste to be added to the contamination already at Handford. In our every decision we must consider the legacy we are leaving our grandchildren and their grandchildren

Pat Collier
Signature

Pat Collier
Print name

Address POB 574
Vashon WA 98070

P-0085

Dear Mr. Collins,
I would like to express my opinion on the Hanford Solid Waste EIS.
Please send me a response to my comment.

1 I cannot imagine that you would consider shipping 70,000 more truckloads (or any at all!) to Hanford. What an uncalculated risk to our residents! Hanford is already the nation's worst contaminated site, & it is near the great Columbia River, a lifeline for the Northwest. The groundwater contamination is a real & present threat to all of us, plus fish & wildlife. How could you think
2 of such a location? I understand you don't plan to clean-up this groundwater for 150 yrs.? Our children & our gr. children + on do not matter?

Signature
Barbara H. Conner

Print name
Barbara H. Conner

Address 7627 S.E. 32
Portland, Or. 97202