Fellowship of Reconciliation, Grant Bakewell, Chaplain and Facilitator, Sacramento Valley Chapter
Page 1 of 4

PHIL, 4/28/04

MAY GOD BLESS YOU AND GUIDE YOU, AND THE STAFF AT LIVERMORE LAB, IN THE TRUE WAYS OF PEACE.

SHALOM +
GRANT BAKEWELL
CHAPLAIN + FACILITATOR,
FELLOWSHIP OF RECONCILIATION
SACRAMENTO VALLEY CHAPTER.

NO COMMENTS IDENTIFIED IN THIS SUBMITTAL

Fellowship of Reconciliation, Grant Bakewell, Chaplain and Facilitator, Sacramento Valley Chapter
Page 2 of 4

<http://www.gospelweb.net/graphics/usflaganimated2.gif>

ONCE TO EVERY MAN AND NATION
James Russell Lowell (1819-1891)

Once to every man and nation, Comes the moment to decide,
In the strife of truth with false-hood, For the good or evil side;
Some great cause, some great decision, Offering each the bloom or blight,
And the choice goes by forever, 'Twixt that darkness and that light.

Then to side with truth is noble, When we share her wretched crust,
Ere her cause bring fame and profit, And 'tis prosperous to be just;
Then it is the brave man chooses, While the coward stands aside,
Till the multitude make virtue, Of the faith they had denied.

Though the cause of evil prosper, Yet the truth alone is strong:
Though her portion be the scaffold, And upon the throne be wrong,
Yet that scaffold sways the future, AND, BEHIND THE DIM UNKNOWN,
STANDETH GOD WITHIN THE SHADOW, KEEPING WATCH ABOVE HIS OWN.

Fellowship of Reconciliation, Grant Bakewell, Chaplain and Facilitator, Sacramento Valley Chapter
Page 3 of 4



GOD HAS A DREAM

A Vision of Hope for Our Time

DESMOND TUTU
WITH DOUGLAS ABRAMS

DOUBLEDAY
New York London Toronto Sydney Auckland

Fellowship of Reconciliation, Grant Bakewell, Chaplain and Facilitator, Sacramento Valley Chapter
Page 4 of 4



PUBLISHED BY DOUBLEDAY
a division of Random House, Inc.

DOUBLEDAY and the portrayal of an anchor with a dolphin are registered trademarks of Random House, Inc.

Book design by Chris Welch

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Tutu, Desmond.
God has a dream : a vision of hope for our time / Desmond Tutu with Douglas Abrams.—1st ed.
p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references.
1. Hope. 2. South Africa—Social conditions. 3. South Africa—Politics and government. I. Abrams, Douglas. II. Title.
BX5700.6.Z8.T8747 2004
231.7–dc22
2003055481

ISBN 0-385-47784-8

Copyright © 2004 by Desmond Tutu
All Rights Reserved

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

April 2004
First Edition

1 3 5 7 9 10 8 6 4 2

CON

Introd
1 GOD BE
2 GOD
3 GOD LOVES
4 GOD LOVE
5 GOD C
6 SEEING WITH T
7 STILLNESS: HE
8 IN THE FU
Postscript: Your
Acknow

Ferneau, Jo
Page 1 of 4

jo ferneau
1601 Shoreline Hwy.
Sausalito, ca 94965

May 27, 2004

Mr. Tom Grim
DOE, NNSA L-293
7000 East Ave.
Livermore, CA 94550

Dear Mr. Grim:

Mr Grim,

I was just about to write up my own letter to you when I ran across this California Peace Action email and I feel that it just about covers the most essential points. I would only add a few of my own: 1. Weapons of
1/01.01 Mass Destruction are unnacceptable for ANY nation, not just our "enemies". We can and should be in the business of working with other nations to eliminate our stockpiles thereby encouraging an atmosphere of trust and cooperation rather than fear, suspicion, animosity, war, etc. 2. We
2/24.01 should not be generating more nuclear waste until we can ensure that it can be contained for the duration of its half-life--26,000 years. We already have radioactive waste contaminating water sources all over this country because the facilities don't know what to do with all the waste and so they do stupid things like bury barrels of it in their own backyard (or ship it off to someone else's backyard). the former, I'm sure you're aware, was an incident that happened at Livermore Labs and was only discovered when contractors went to break ground for the NIF. 3. Are you
3/32.04 a Christian? What would Jesus do? I'm Buddhist myself and I'm sure that the Buddha would not ensure the safety of his people by building the most destructable bombs ever know to mankind...For that matter, I bet Moses or Ghandi or Martin Luther King Jr. or the Dalai Lama, etc. wouldn't either.
4/02.01 Maybe Jerry Falwell would but who's side would you rather be on? 4. More "usable" nuclear bombs? That's just what we need to develop for the ever increasingly resourceful terrorists that may even be working in the labs. Proliferation happens because people design this stuff in the first place.
5/04.01 5. The public, the people, are overwhelmingly opposed to this insanity. I wasn't at the public hearings last month (which is why I'm writing) but I heard from a close friend who went that NOT ONE single person had a positive thing to say for the plan from 7pm on and between 2 and 6pm, only

Ferneau, Jo
Page 2 of 4

5/04.01 cont. 2 people thought that the plan was a winner (members of the city council?). 6. If you love this planet and you want it to be around for your children (if you have them) and your families' children then PLEASE DON'T GO THROUGH WITH THIS! Thank you, I'm impressed if you got this far.

Please consider this letter with my comments on the environmental and proliferation risks from proposed nuclear weapons development and new plutonium and tritium programs at the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL).

6/02.01 I write to you because the DOE has prepared a draft Site Wide Environmental Impact Statement (SWEIS) that proposes to ramp up nuclear weapons activities at the Livermore Lab in Northern California. Livermore Lab is working on the design of a new, high-yield nuclear bunker-buster, called the "Robust Nuclear Earth Penetrator," and I oppose its development. Additionally, I oppose the development of so-called "mini-nukes" and other new nuclear weapons concepts being researched at Livermore Lab.

Here are my comments on six dangerous new programs being proposed at Livermore Lab.

7/08.02 1. Storage of More Nuclear Materials: This plan will more than double the storage limit for plutonium at Livermore Lab from 1,540 pounds to 3,300 pounds. It would increase the radioactive tritium storage limit from 30 grams to 35 grams. I join California Peace Action and the Livermore-based Tri-Valley CAREs group in calling on DOE to de-inventory the plutonium and tritium stocks at Livermore Lab, not increase them.

8/27.01, 33.01 2. Plutonium Atomic Vapor Laser Isotope Separation (AVLIS): This plan will revive a project that was canceled more than 10 years ago because it was dangerous and unnecessary. The project is Plutonium AVLIS. This is a scheme to heat and vaporize plutonium and then shoot multiple laser beams through the hot vapor to separate out plutonium isotopes. To do this, Livermore Lab plans to increase the amount of plutonium that can be used at one time in any one room from 44 pounds to 132 pounds - a 3-fold increase. I join California Peace Action and the Livermore-based Tri-Valley CAREs in calling for cancellation of this project.

9/26.01, 26.03 3. Dangerous New Experiments in the National Ignition Facility Mega-Laser: This plan will add plutonium, highly-enriched uranium and lithium hydride to experiments in the National Ignition Facility (NIF) mega-laser when it is completed at Livermore Lab. Using these materials in the NIF will increase its usefulness for nuclear weapons development. It will also make

Ferneau, Jo
Page 3 of 4

9/26.01, 26.03 cont. the NIF more hazardous to workers and the environment. I join California Peace Action and the Livermore-based Tri-Valley CAREs in calling for a close out of the NIF project and termination of plans to use plutonium and other new materials in it.

10/37.01 4. New Technologies for Producing Plutonium Bomb Cores: This plan makes Livermore Lab the place to test new manufacturing technologies for producing plutonium pits for nuclear weapons. A pit is the softball-sized piece of plutonium that sits inside a modern nuclear weapon and triggers its thermonuclear explosion. DOE says these new technologies will then be used in a new bomb core factory, called the Modern Pit Facility (MPF). The Livermore Lab plutonium pit program will enable the MPF and production of 150 - 450 plutonium bomb cores annually, with the ability to run double shifts and produce 900 per year. This production capability would approximate the combined nuclear arsenals of France and China - each year. I join California Peace Action and the Livermore-based Tri-Valley CAREs in calling for termination of this technology development project.

11/39.01 5. Enhancing Readiness to Resume Full-Scale Nuclear Tests: This plan calls for Livermore Lab to develop diagnostics to "enhance" the nation's readiness to conduct full-scale underground nuclear tests at the Nevada Test Site. This is a dangerous step back to the days of unrestrained nuclear testing and I join with California Peace Action and Tri-Valley CAREs to oppose any move to "enhance" U.S. readiness to conduct full-scale tests.

12/35.01 6. Mixing Bugs and Bombs: This plan mixes bugs and bombs at Livermore Lab. It calls for collocating an advanced bio-warfare agent research facility with nuclear weapons activities in a classified area at Livermore Lab. The DOE proposes genetic modification and aerosolization (spraying) with live anthrax, plague and other deadly pathogens on site at LLNL. This could weaken the international biological weapons treaty -- and it poses a risk to workers, the public and the environment here in the California. Interestingly, this program is listed as part of LLNL's "no action alternative" as though it were an existing program -- even though it is not yet constructed, Tri-Valley CAREs has brought litigation against it, and a federal Judge has issued a "stay" prohibiting the importation of dangerous pathogens into the facility while the lawsuit moves forward. I join Tri-Valley CAREs in opposing the operation of a bio-warfare agent facility at Livermore Lab.

13/04.01 I believe the DOE plan to introduce new weapons programs into LLNL will promote a new arms race and escalate the nuclear danger. Further, the DOE proposal to double LLNL's plutonium storage limit to 3,300 pounds and triple the amount held "at risk" in any one room increases the

Ferneau, Jo
Page 4 of 4

13/04.01 cont. environmental threat LLNL poses to the people of California. The SWEIS propels Livermore Lab in exactly the wrong direction.

14/07.01 Instead of proposing new weapons projects, DOE should enhance the peaceful, civilian scientific capabilities and mission at Livermore Lab by proposing new, unclassified programs in environmental cleanup, non-polluting and renewable energy, earth sciences, astrophysics, atmospheric physics and others. The alternative of a "green lab" in Livermore should be pursued instead of the dangerous nuclear weapons future proposed by the Site Wide Environmental Impact Statement.

Sincerely,

jo ferneau