# COB Energy Facility Project

17-Feb-04

**Specialist:** Tom McKinney **Program Office:** EF&W

**Comment Close Date:** 2/13/2004

| Comment Log # | First Name | Last Name | Affiliation | Receipt Date | Notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2COBEF-025 | unknown | Madson | | 2/13/2004 | comment form |
| 2COBEF-026 | Anita | Ward | | 2/17/2004 | Faxed comment form |
| 2COBEF-027 | Diara | Giordano | | 2/17/2004 | |
| 028 | DOI | | | | |
| 029 | EPA | | | | |

PDX/041750022.PDF

B O N N E V I L L E  P O W E R  A D M I N I S T R A T I O N

# COB Energy Facility Interconnection Project

"I'd like to tell you . . ."

2COBEF-025
FEB 12 2004

1. Please have your environmental studies look at:

25A Sandhill Crane rooking near C.O.B. sight
Antelope herd near site.

2. I need more information about:

25B Above studies.
Why we can't have reduced power fees when this is forced on us.

3. I have these other comments:

25B If the Bonanza Area will get a cost reduction in their power when this is forced upon us, it might help. Does
25C PPL have a monopoly on power in our area?

Please put me on your project mailing list. (You are already on the mailing list, if you have received mailed notices.)

Name Meadow

Address 6m Harpold Rd.

City Bonanza, OR. 97623 Zip

Please mail your comments by February 13, 2004 to:
Bonneville Power Administration
Communications – DM -7
PO. Box 14428
Portland, OR 97293 –4428
Or email them to: comments@bpa.gov

PDX/041750022.PDF

BONNEVILLE ADMINISTRATIO

Arc-en-Ciel
Anita Ward
129 Southshore Lane
Klamath Falls, OR 97601-9111

# COB Energy Facility Interconnection Project

2COBEF-026

"I'd like to tell you . . ."

FEB 17 2004

1. Please have your environmental studies look at: 26A 1) Oregon Water Resources Dept (Jerr Grondin) Investigation on COB Water Right which concl that no one knows how sustainable the deep water bearing zone(s) are. 26B 2) The COB Interference Test that CH2M Hill was to conduct for 30 days was inte rupted after 48 hrs when pump broke down. An obvious response was detected in the "Shallow" wate bearing zone in 3 wells. 3) USFW stated there was a lik connection between the "Shallow" & deep aquifers. Mr Thom could not agree that the deep aquifer (well) was com pletely separated or isolated from shallow & requires "regulating off" (shutting down) & monitor neighboring water rights may need to be bought. 26C

2. I need more information about: 26D 1) Identifying conflicts, especially significant effects on surrounding land use changes in farm practice that would need to be mitigated. 26E 2) COB is planning on being a "Peaking" facility rather than a base load plant. So it would no be as "reliable" as a backup to BPA as base load facilities.

3. I have these other comments:

26F 1) If litigation has not already begun, it may soon by priva landowner who has not been paid by COB for righ of way easements on his property for proposed transmissio line to cross.
2) Also Water for Life is likely to challenge OWRD's issuing water permit w/ restrictions and/or mitigations.
3) Because of 5 yr permits needing to be renewed, COB pers may be put on administrative hold.

(Please put me on your project mailing list. (You are already on the mailing list, if you have received mailed notices.)

Name

Address

City State Zip

26G 4) Mule deer & prong horn antelope habitat unnecessar compromised.
26H 5) Veg control may be needed for evaporation ponds an irrigating w/ processed water.
26I 6) DOE recommends 35 miles/hr on Langell Valley to accommodate extra traffic on road?
26J 7) Fire protection and access roads need to be addressed.

Please mail your comments by February 13, 2004 to:
Bonneville Power Administration
Communications – DM -7
PO. Box 14428
Portland, OR 97293 –4428
Or email them to: comments@bpa.gov

1COBEF-027
FEB 17 2004

February 11.2004

Dear Mr. Tom McKinney.

My name is Diana Giordano, I reside at 11431 West Langell Vly. Rd. Bonanza. Or.

I have some questions about some discrepancies in the COB Energy Facility EIS document. In reading the EIS, which contains hundreds of pages, you state many mistruths. Example -

27A I find in Appendix C, pg. 4-5 under the section 4.4.2 Lost River - quote "The Link River is a canal constructed by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation to connect the Lost River to the Klamath River system as part of the Klamath Basin project". Wrong - approximately 1.2 mile long river that "links Lake Ewana with Klamath Lake". If CH2M hill doesn't even know where this mile long river is that is in plain site across the surface of our County, how can they claim to know about an aquifer that is more than a thousand feet beneath the ground?

27B Again in Appendix C. pg. 4-5 - 4.4.2 Lost River - quote Water from the Lost River is currently used for domestic and industrial water supply, irrigation and livestock. Tell me who drinks Lost River water? Which industrial Company uses this Lost River water?

PDX/041750022.PDF

27C Appendix A - pg. A-3 section - Current land Use - states land was last used in 1999 - Correction in 2003 a rye crop was planted and grazed.

27D Same page - The Water Supply Well System, states present land use is irrigated pasture, currently grazed by sheep. - Correction the land you refer to belongs to the neighbor, not land COB has options on.

27E Appendix C pg. 2-9 - discharge the stormwater into a 4.7 acre pond, or LVID ditches.
Appendix A pg. 3-4 water discharged for either land application through nearby irrigation districts systems, or discharge into the Lost River.
Appendix C - section one - Facility would be designed to be low discharge. Therefore, no process wastewater would be discharged to surface water or irrigation canals.
The above still states the use of LVID ditches or Lost River, is this true?

27F Wastewater: Figure 2-3 in EIS Appendix C shows Plant Drain System as a closed loop .. I believe the solids would eventually need to be disposed ... Does the Book reference how that would be accomplished?

27G EIS pgs 2-8 talk about Storing and Hauling to Wastewater Treatment Plan .. and yet Amendment 2 Step on pg 3-4 Not Alternative of hauling to a

PDX\041750022.PDF

wastewater treatment plant is no longer a viable option. Can you explain these descrepancies to me?

27H Stormwater - Appendix C pgs. 4-6 still discuss the possibility of discharging stormwater. In Amendment 2 pg. B-5 says there will be no discharge of stormwater into surface waters or drainage ditches. I wonder, did ~~you~~ BPA read any of the SCA or Amendments 1 & 2?

It does not seem right that we should have to deal with incorrect data. You as well as CH2M Hill and COB should correct their documents before we are required to respond.

27I One more item for you to ~~p~~ respond and fix - Appendix C pg 2-6 wastewater irrigation is planned only for April thru September - Curious on pg. 7 in back of the EIS Book Risk Assessment - states wastewater will only be applied 8 months of the year. Explain to me the additional 2 months?

Your whole document needs to be changed to refect correct data. ~~Before the Feb. 13, 2004 deadline arrives I may send you more incorrect data~~

27J At the Lorella meeting I got the impression from you that if the siting council decides to site COB then you would also sign off and agree to let COB connect its lines. Why? Can you not follow your own judgement and realize there are ~~not~~ no benefits to this prososed facility.

Please help me stop this insanity, this is agricultural land!

Diana Giordano

Giordano
11431 W. Langell Vly Rd.
Bonanza, Or. 97623

PDX/041750022.PDF